



**GREAT LAKE TAUPŌ**

Taupō District Council

**I give notice that  
a Fences, Roothing, Reserves & Dogs Committee Meeting will be held  
on:**

<b>Date:</b>	<b>Tuesday, 22 May 2018</b>
<b>Time:</b>	<b>10.30am</b>
<b>Location:</b>	<b>Council Chamber 107 Heuheu Street Taupō</b>

# **AGENDA**

## **MEMBERSHIP**

**Chairperson** Cr Barry Hickling  
**Deputy Chairperson** Cr John Williamson

**Members** Cr John Boddy  
Cr Anna Park  
Mayor David Trewavas  
Cr Maggie Stewart

**Quorum** 3

**Gareth Green**  
**Chief Executive Officer**

## Order Of Business

- 1 **Apologies**
- 2 **Conflicts of Interest**
- 3 **Confirmation of Minutes**
  - 3.1 Fences, Roothing, Reserves & Dogs Committee Meeting - 20 March 2018 .....3
- 4 **Reports**
  - 4.1 Objection to Menacing Classification of Dog 'Ike' .....4
  - 4.2 Licence to Occupy - Mobile Food Outlet Parking, Tokaanu .....5
  - 4.3 Updates to Taupo District Council Traffic Controls .....8
  - 4.4 Tree Removal Request - Taupahi Reserve, Turangi .....12
  - 4.5 Licence to Occupy, Art in the Park - Colonel Roberts Reserve .....15
- 5 **Confidential Business**

Nil

**3.1 FENCES, ROADING, RESERVES & DOGS COMMITTEE MEETING - 20 MARCH 2018**

**Author:** Shainey James, Democratic Services Officer

**Authorised by:** Tina Jakes, Head of Democracy, Governance and Venues

**RECOMMENDATION(S)**

That the minutes of the Fences, Roding, Reserves & Dogs Committee meeting held on Tuesday 20 March 2018 be confirmed as a true and correct record.

**ATTACHMENTS**

1. Fences, Roding, Reserves & Dogs Committee Meeting Minutes - 20 March 2018 [⇒](#)

**4.1 OBJECTION TO MENACING CLASSIFICATION OF DOG 'IKE'**

**Author:** Tina Jakes, Head of Democracy, Governance and Venues

**Authorised by:** Gareth Green, Chief Executive Officer

**PURPOSE**

To consider an objection request from Mr Scott and Mrs Natasha Brasell in relation to the menacing classification of their dog Ike.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Council has received a letter from Mr and Mrs Brasell objecting to staff classification of their dog Ike as a menacing dog under the Dog Control Act 1996 ("the Act"). The request is presented for consideration.

**BACKGROUND**

The proposal has not been presented previously.

Ike (a tan Boxer/Mastiff) was classified as menacing on 12 July 2017 after a complaint made by the local rural postal driver.

Ike was not secure on the property at the time of the incident. The postal driver in the van went to insert mail into the letterbox and Ike has jumped up and allegedly bitten the arm of the driver. The result of this was a tear to the arm of the drivers clothing and a minor injury.

Ike has had no previous record of aggression or attack prior to this incident.

**DISCUSSION**

Section 33B(2) of the Act states that:

The [Committee] considering an objection under subsection (1) may uphold or rescind the classification, and in making its determination must have regard to--

- (a) the evidence which formed the basis for the classification; and
- (b) any steps taken by the owner to prevent any threat to the safety of persons or animals; and
- (c) the matters relied on in support of the objection; and
- (d) any other relevant matters.

Further, s33B(3) of the Act requires the Committee to, "as soon as practicable, give written notice to the owner of (a) its determination of the objection; and (b) the reasons for its determination.

**CONCLUSION**

It is recommended that the Committee:

1. Hears from Mr and Mrs Brasell in support of their objection to the menacing classification.
2. Hears from Council's Consents & Regulatory Manager in relation to the staff decision to classify Mr and Mrs Brasell's dog as menacing.
3. Deliberates on all the information provided to it, both written and verbal, and reaches a decision within the parameters of s33B(2) of the Dog Control Act 1996.

**ATTACHMENTS**

1. Consents & Regulatory Manager's report [↗](#)

**4.2 LICENCE TO OCCUPY - MOBILE FOOD OUTLET PARKING, TOKAANU****Author:** Woinshet Hailesilassie, Engineering Officer - Transportation Strategy**Authorised by:** Kevin Strongman, Head of Operations**PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to enable the Committee to make a decision on the application for a licence to occupy council road reserve by Tokaanu Thermal Hot Pools for the purposes of parking trailer and operating a mobile food outlet.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The owners of Chur Bro Churros Ltd trading T&T Pataka – Catering has sent a proposal to council to get approval of licence to occupy and use their trailer as mobile food outlet on Puanga Street road shoulder, by Tokaanu Thermal Hot Pool carparking area.

**RECOMMENDATION(S)**

That the Fences, Roothing, Reserves & Dogs Committee approves a three year licence to occupy to the owners of Chur Bro Churros Ltd trading as T&T Pataka – Catering, for the purposes of operating a mobile food shop in the Tokaanu Thermal Hot Pool carpark.

**BACKGROUND**

The proposal has not been presented previously.

Currently the owners are operating their business in Wellington, but due to family reasons they are planning to move to Taupō, and own a property in Tokaanu.

The proposal is to park their trailer on council road reserve and to operate a mobile food outlet, selling light refreshments including burgers, chips, snacks, tea and coffee near the Tokaanu Hot Thermal pool carpark.

**DISCUSSION**

The owners of Chur Bro Churros Ltd trading T&T Pataka – Catering advised that they are keen to work together with iwi and the thermal pool owners and they have received approval from Tokaanu Hapu to operate in the thermal carpark.

When we sent the preferred option as part of the consultation to the thermal pool manager concerns were raised with the proposed location and the fact they will be occupying two of the thermal pool customer parking spaces and suggested option 2 as their preferred option. We advised the business owner that they would need to discuss directly with Thermal pools. At this stage we have not heard from the owner if they contacted the thermal pool manager.

We have been advised that the thermal pool is in the process of being handed over to the local Marae, however we are unaware of the timing for this handover.

The business owners have food control plan registration for the whole country, which allows them to operate anywhere in the country. This has been confirmed by council officer that the registration is current.

Based on this information it is considered that there are three options available.

**OPTIONS**Analysis of Options

Option 1/Preferred Option – Approve the proposal of licence to occupy to operate the mobile food outlet in the thermal pool parking area.

Advantages	Disadvantages
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would be safer location for traffic movement and to operate the mobile food outlet.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cost will be incurred to council for hiring valuer.</li> <li>Will occupy two thermal pool parking</li> </ul>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Would bring income to council from the annual licence fee.</li> <li>• Would create activity/attraction and vibrancy to Mangaroa and Puanga Street and in the area of Tokaanu hot thermal pools.</li> </ul>	spaces.
---	---------

Option 2 – Approve the proposal of licence to occupy to operate the mobile food outlet on Road Shoulder

Advantages	Disadvantages
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Would bring income to council from the annual licence fee.</li> <li>• Would create activity/attraction and vibrancy to Mangaroa and Puanga Street and in the area of Tokaanu hot thermal pools.</li> <li>• The thermal pool parking space will be free for customer parking (Preferred option by the thermal pool manager)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Due to the curve at the proposed location the trailer may create safety concern and visibility issue at the exit which could be mitigated by moving the proposed parking space 4m away from the exit.</li> <li>• The road shoulder space will be occupied.</li> <li>• Cost will be incurred to council for hiring valuer.</li> </ul>

Option 3 – Decline option 1 and 2

Advantages	Disadvantages
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The thermal pool parking space will be free for customer parking.</li> <li>• Keeps the road shoulder free for public parking.</li> <li>• The visibility exiting the carpark will not be affected which was mitigated by moving the parking away from the exit.</li> <li>• Council will be saving cost of hiring valuer.</li> <li>• No loss of carparking.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No income to council from licence to occupy annual fee.</li> <li>• The area would not gain from the vibrancy the business would create.</li> </ul>

Analysis Conclusion:

The business would create vitality for the thermal pool area and the community. Due to safety reasons option 1 is the preferred option.

## CONSIDERATIONS

### Financial Considerations

Council will engage a valuer to determine the licence fee which will cost council estimated \$800, then council will gain from the annual licence to occupy fees.

### Legal Considerations

Local Government Act 2002

The matter comes within scope of the Council's lawful powers, including satisfying the purpose statement of Section 10 of the Local Government Act 2002. The matter will enable the Council to meet the current and future needs of communities for good quality local public services. (i.e. efficient, effective and appropriate to present and anticipated future circumstances).

### Policy Implications

There are no known policy implications.

### Risks

There are no known risks.

## **SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DECISION OR PROPOSAL**

Council's Significance and Engagement policy identifies the following matters that are to be taken into account when assessing the degree of significance of proposals and decisions:

- a. The level of financial consequences of the proposal or decision;
- b. Whether the proposal or decision will affect a large portion of the community or community of interest;
- c. The likely impact on present and future interests of the community, recognising Maori cultural values and their relationship to land and water;
- d. Whether the proposal affects the level of service of an activity identified in the Long Term Plan;
- e. Whether community interest is high; and
- f. The capacity of Council to perform its role and the financial and other costs of doing so.

Officers have undertaken a rounded assessment of the matters in clause 11 of the Significance and Engagement Policy (2016), and are of the opinion that the proposal under consideration is of low importance, noting that legislation currently before the House for the Tūwharetoa claims settlement includes the neighbouring Tokaanu geothermal field and Tokaanu hot pools. Council has engaged with Te Kotahitanga o Ngāti Tūwharetoa to confirm support for this particular application in conjunction with Ngāti Kurauia (refer Attachment 1).

## **ENGAGEMENT**

Council sent the proposal as part of consultation process to the Tokaanu Hot Thermal Pool, Turangi/Tongariro Community Board and to Tokaanu Marae. As per the response from Tokaanu Thermal Pools manager, he raised his concern that the proposal will affect the thermal pool business and we advised the business owner that they would need to discuss directly with Thermal pools. At this stage we have not heard from the owner if they contacted the thermal pool manager.

The owners have engaged the Marae and Tokaanu Marae Committee and their proposal has been approved which is attached to this report. We have been advised that the Thermal pools is under a process to be handed over to the local Marae, but council is not aware the timing of the handing over.

## **COMMUNICATION/MEDIA**

No communication/media is required.

## **CONCLUSION**

As per the information provided it is recommended that the committee approves option 1.

## **ATTACHMENTS**

1. Letter to Taupo District Council from Ngati Kurauia [⇒](#)
2. Final Proposal - Licence to Occupy for Mobile Food Parking Space [⇒](#)
3. Tokaanu Mobile Food Outlet Proposed Trailer Parking Marking Plan [⇒](#)

**4.3 UPDATES TO TAUPŌ DISTRICT COUNCIL TRAFFIC CONTROLS**

**Author:** Woinshet Hailesilassie, Engineering Officer - Transportation Strategy

**Authorised by:** Kevin Strongman, Head of Operations

**PURPOSE**

To update the Taupō District Council traffic controls or prohibitions on roads or public spaces, in accordance with the Taupō District Council Traffic Bylaw 2014.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Taupō District Council Traffic controls may be updated from time to time with new controls or prohibitions.

**RECOMMENDATION(S)**

That, pursuant to the Taupō District Council Traffic Bylaw, Council imposes the following traffic controls and/or prohibitions on roads and/or public spaces in the Taupō District:

Sign/Marking	Why	Where
1		
1.1 One (1) 12m long loading zone marking and sign.	1.1 The parking space outside the public toilet will be utilised efficiently.	1.1 Turangi town centre parking, outside the public toilet.
1.2 One (1) mobility parking space marking and sign.	1.2 To provide access for mobility users when using public toilet.	1.2 Along Te Rangitautahanga Road, outside Mustard Seed Café.
1.3 42 metres No Stopping At All Times marking (broken yellow lines)	1.3 To provide buses enough exiting and entering spaces.	1.3 Along Te Rangitautahanga Road and Ngawaka Place.
1.4 One (1) Bus Stop Sign.	1.4 To fulfil the guideline requirement, if bus stop exceeds 12m will require two signs at the ends.	1.4 on Te Rangitautahanga Road.
1.5 One (1) Extend existing bus stop by 17m.	1.5 In replacement of the bus stop outside the public toilet. To extend and existing bus stop marking outside the I site on Ngawaka Place.	1.5 Ngawaka Place, outside Turangi i-site.
1.6 One (1) Removing 30m long bus stop.	1.6 To improve the safety issue and congestion outside the public toilet.	1.6 Turangi town centre parking, outside the toilet block.
1.7 One (1) No U turn sign.	1.7 To stop vehicles doing U-turn at the intersection.	1.7 At Te Rangitautahanga Road and Ngawaka Place intersection.
2. Three (3) no right turn signs.	To stop right turning vehicles into and out of Redoubt Street	On Tongariro and Redoubt Street.



## BACKGROUND

Council must make a resolution whenever a sign or marking on the road is recommended or recommended to be changed, and as a consequence controls or prohibits the use of a road or public space.

The Taupō District Council Traffic Control Device Register (the Register) sets out all the signs and markings which control and prohibit the use of a road or public space in the Taupō District.

## OPTIONS

The two options before Council are:

- 1) Accept the recommendation to amend and update the controls or;
- 2) Not accept the recommendation to amend and update the controls.

It is recommended that Council accepts the recommendation to update and amend the controls.

## CONSIDERATIONS

The controls require updating to incorporate the following new signs and markings:

Sign/Marking	Why	Where
1		
1.1 One (1) 12m long loading zone marking and sign.	1.1 The parking space outside the public toilet will be utilised efficiently.	1.1 Turangi town centre parking, outside the public toilet.
1.2 One (1) mobility parking space marking and sign.	1.2 To provide access for mobility users when using public toilet.	1.2 Along Te Rangitautahanga Road, outside Mustard Seed Café.
1.3 42 metres No Stopping At All Times marking (broken yellow lines)	1.3 To provide buses enough exiting and entering spaces.	1.3 Along Te Rangitautahanga Road and Ngawaka Place.
1.4 One (1) Bus Stop Sign.	1.4 To fulfil the guideline requirement, if bus stop exceeds 12m will require two signs at the ends.	1.4 on Te Rangitautahanga Road.
1.5 One (1) Extend existing bus stop by 17m.	1.5 In replacement of the bus stop outside the public toilet. To extend and existing bus stop marking outside the I site on Ngawaka Place.	1.5 Ngawaka Place, outside Turangi i-site.
1.6 One (1) Removing 30m long bus stop.	1.6 To improve the safety issue and congestion outside the public toilet.	1.6 Turangi town centre parking, outside the toilet block.
1.7 One (1) No U turn sign.	1.7 To stop vehicles doing U-turn at the intersection.	1.7 At Te Rangitautahanga Road and Ngawaka Place intersection.
2. Three (3) no right turn signs.	To stop right turning vehicles into and out of Redoubt Street	On Tongariro and Redoubt Street.

1. In December 2017 council received a request from the owner of mustard seed café saying that the bus stop outside his property and the toilet block in Turangi was creating some concerns.

The concerns included;

- the number of tourist buses coming into the town especially at pick times they creating safety issue for pedestrians who use the toilet facilities,
- bus drivers parking and leaving the buses unattended which is causing congestion in the area,
- the fumes from the buses coming causing disruption and a potential health issue.

- the bus stop was not originally marked as a proper bus stop. therefore he is requesting council to consider removing the bus stop.

Considering all the above issues council is proposing to remove the bus stop and replace with 12m long loading zone and install 5 minutes parking sign which will allow toilet users to use the parking space efficiently.

In order to provide replacement for the removed bus stop, council is proposing to extend the bus stop on Ngawaka Place. Also to provide mobility users access to the toilet, council is proposing mobility parking space marking and sign. Provide no stopping yellow broken line markings, as per design guidelines recommendation before and after bus stops, which will give enough space for buses entering and exiting the bus stops and will allow them to safely manoeuvre.

2. As part of Spa Road and Tongariro Street roundabout improvement, some changes were made to the road markings and signage. These changes include the left lane on Tongariro street for south bound traffic lane to be marked left turn only (this meant removal of the through arrow road marking). Also in order to provide sufficient length for southbound traffic in the right lane, council decided to install no right turn signs to stop right turning traffic in to and out of Redoubt street. For the inter-city buses it means they will need no longer be able to right turn into Redoubt Street. A trial was undertaken to see if a bus could U-turn at the roundabout and into Redoubt Street. The bus companies, police, markets, tennis club and major events have all been advised of the changes. The main or known bus companies were provided a plan and an alternative route to turn in Story Place.

### **Financial Considerations**

The financial impact of maintenance to the Register does not change and is met within current budgets.

### **Legal Considerations**

#### Local Government Act 2002

The matter comes within scope of the Council's lawful powers, including satisfying the purpose statement of Section 10 of the Local Government Act 2002.

The proposal has been evaluated with regard to the Traffic Bylaw 2014, the Land Transport Act 1998 and the associated Rules. Prescribed signs need to be installed in order to be enforceable by our compliance officers.

### **Policy Implications**

There are no policy implications associated with this report.

### **Risks**

There are no risks associated with this report except not having prescribed signs installed.

### **SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DECISION OR PROPOSAL**

Council's Significance and Engagement policy identifies the following matters that are to be taken into account when assessing the degree of significance of proposals and decisions:

- a. The level of financial consequences of the proposal or decision;
- b. Whether the proposal or decision will affect a large portion of the community or community of interest;
- c. The likely impact on present and future interests of the community, recognising Maori cultural values and their relationship to land and water;
- d. Whether the proposal affects the level of service of an activity identified in the Long Term Plan;
- e. Whether community interest is high; and
- f. The capacity of Council to perform its role and the financial and other costs of doing so.

Officers have undertaken a rounded assessment of the matters in clause 11 of the Significance and Engagement Policy (2016), and are of the opinion that the proposal under consideration is of low importance.

### **ENGAGEMENT**

Taking into consideration the above assessment, that the decision is of a low degree of significance, officers are of the opinion that no further engagement is required prior to Council making a decision. The transportation team have consulted with key stakeholders including compliance team and received feedback as follows:

1. Consultation letter sent to bus companies, Turangi isite and to mustard seed café owner.
2. Consultation letter sent to the buses company, Turangi and Taupō isites.

### **COMMUNICATION/MEDIA**

No communication/media is required.

### **CONCLUSION**

It is recommended that Council imposes the traffic controls and prohibitions detailed in the report. Staff will then update the Traffic Control Device Register in accordance with the resolution.

### **ATTACHMENTS**

1. Tongariro Spa Roundabout Proposed Signs and Markings Plan [⇒](#)
2. Proposed Turangi Signs and Markings Plan [⇒](#)

**4.4 TREE REMOVAL REQUEST - TAUPAHI RESERVE, TURANGI**

**Author:** Nathan Mourie, Senior Reserves Planner

**Authorised by:** Kevin Strongman, Head of Operations

**PURPOSE**

To consider the request to remove four Gum trees from Taupahi Reserve, Turangi.

**DISCUSSION**

Council received a written request from Mr Andrew Morrison from Wellington regarding the property he owns at 145 Taupahi Road Turangi asking that four Gum trees in the reserve be removed (attachment 1).

Mr Morrison's property adjoins the Northern boundary of Taupahi Reserve. He is concerned that the trees may drop debris onto his house which could cause damage to his property. He has advised that if this happens he would hold Taupō District Council responsible – presumably for costs associated with any repair or renewal of his property. The relative locations of the trees in question and the property of Mr Morrison are identified below.

Mr Morrison also wrote to Council in 2014 asking that two large gum trees be removed from the vicinity of his property and gave 'formal notice' that he would consider Council liable for any damage to his property caused by the gums.



The trees are located some distance from the property boundary, with the closest trunk being approximately 12 metres from the boundary, with the spread of branches being approximately 4 metres from the boundary. There is a reserve road between the boundary fence and the trees. The trees themselves are estimated to be approximately 15-20m tall.

It is unlikely that any falling branches would reach the property at 145 Taupahi Road, although it is conceivable that an entire falling tree may reach this property.

The trees are mature Gums of a type found in a number of locations throughout the district. While they are not a species currently identified for planting projects by Council, these mature specimens do provide shelter and food to local wildlife, and birds in particular. The surrounding park already includes a number of other mature Gum trees.

These trees currently appear to be in reasonable health with no immediate danger of significant failure of the trees or limbs. Gum trees however are a relatively fast maturing species which don't have as long a lifespan as comparable native species.

Council's Tree & Vegetation Policy 2014 only allows for the removal of healthy trees in the following circumstances:

### Policy 3.2 – Removal of Healthy Trees

Healthy trees on council land will be retained, and their removal will be the exception. Instances where council may consider removal of a healthy tree include:

- street redevelopment is to be implemented and options to retain the tree have been investigated and discounted,
- severe hardship is being experienced (trees which inhibit views or drop debris are not considered to be causing severe hardship),
- other community assets are impacted by trees or vegetation,
- the trees or vegetation are grown weeds and not intentionally planted, or
- the removal is part of a planned replacement programme.

Council may remove unhealthy trees under the following circumstances:

### Policy 3.1 – Removal of Unhealthy Trees

A tree on council land may be removed by council staff where in the opinion of a qualified arborist the tree is:

- dead,
- dangerous,
- severely diseased, or
- particularly poor form and provides limited amenity value.

Council's arborist has inspected the trees in question and is of the opinion that: "three of the four trees are in nice condition and two of those three are leaning well away from the house. We'd be better served with a crown lift and dead wood and remove the adjoining bottle brushes which are in pretty poor condition."

The trees do not appear to be causing any realistic danger to the complainant's property. However, Mr Morrison has offered to provide and pay for any suitable replacements. It could be considered that if council agrees, that this could be seen as a planned replacement, which would comply with the current policy.

## CONCLUSION

The trees in question do not appear to be causing any immediate hardship or danger to the adjacent property. They are not species which would be planted in the reserve in today's parks planning environment. They lend some amenity to the park, but are likely not trees which will be part of the parks long term future given the species type and relatively short lifespan (compared to similar native species).

Council would not otherwise remove the trees, but doing so would not cause significant irreparable change to the park, particularly if the trees are replaced with suitable native varieties.

The cost of removal and replacement should be borne by the complainant as it is additional work which council would not otherwise be obliged to carry out and is not anticipated for in any operational or maintenance budgets. The approximate cost of removal is \$3,500 and suitable replacement trees, planting and ongoing maintenance would cost approximately \$500.

**RECOMMENDATION(S)**

That the Fences, Roothing, Reserves & Dogs Committee approves removal of the identified gum trees at Taupahi Road Reserve on the condition that Mr Morrison of 145 Taupahi Road pays for the cost of removal of the trees and for the supply and planting of suitable replacement trees on Taupahi Road Reserve to be identified by the parks operations department.

Or

That the Fences, Roothing, Reserves & Dogs Committee declines removal of the identified gum trees at Taupahi Road Reserve and that the parks operations department carry out suitable maintenance of the gums and surrounding vegetation as recommended by Council's arborist.

**ATTACHMENTS**

1. Tree Removal Request Letter [⇒](#)

**4.5 LICENCE TO OCCUPY, ART IN THE PARK - COLONEL ROBERTS RESERVE**

**Author:** Nathan Mourie, Senior Reserves Planner

**Authorised by:** Kevin Strongman, Head of Operations

**PURPOSE**

To decide on offering a new licence to occupy for the Art in the Park activity on Council administered land at Colonel Roberts Reserve, Taupō.

**DISCUSSION**

There has been an organised art exhibition in Colonel Roberts Reserve, between Lake Terrace and Roberts St for some time, with the first formal mention being in 2003.

There is a current Licence to Occupy (LTO) for the land which expires on 18 November 2018, having commenced in November 2008. The licenced use is *to allow artists and crafts persons from the Taupō District to display, demonstrate and sell their own arts and crafts.*

This activity is intended to operate on Saturdays from 9.30am to 3.30pm (or the following Sunday if there is inclement weather), as well as most public holidays.

The existing LTO is between Council and Active Arts Taupō Inc. Officers have been informed however that Active Arts Taupō have not been involved in the weekly running of the exhibition for some time.

Council received a request dated 27 January from the Art in the Park representative requesting a new LTO for the activity. They also requested that the matter be considered at this meeting (22 May) as they were unable to attend the March committee meeting.

To date there have been no known major issues with the occupation, and it appears to be a successful activity which lends additional vibrancy to the lakefront and CBD area. There have been some minor conflicts over park use between users, but it is anticipated that a revised licence document will be able to address any potential future usage clashes or issues.

Colonel Roberts Reserve is included in the Tapuaeharuru Bay Lakeshore Reserves Management Plan (RMP) which covers Taupō lakefront reserves from Whakamoenga Point to Five Mile Bay. The art activity at Colonel Roberts Reserve is anticipated and catered for in the context of the RMP. The RMP identifies a maximum five year term for any licence concession.



**CONCLUSION**

The Art in the Park activity has successfully operated in this location for a number of years and is anticipated by the relevant RMP.

Any licence should anticipate possible future use of and/or changes to the area due to development, new activities or event management. While unlikely to have a major effect over the five year duration of the licence, potential roading changes and cbd development could affect the reserve space and any associated activities if and when they are carried out.

It is recommended that a new five year LTO be offered to the Art in the Park group for the same area they currently occupy under similar conditions to the existing licence agreement.

**RECOMMENDATION(S)**

That the Fences, Roothing, Reserves & Dogs Committee approves a new five year licence to occupy to the Art in the Park group for Council administered land at Colonel Roberts Reserve for the area identified in this report.

**ATTACHMENTS**

Nil