

ATTACHMENTS

Tūrangi Co-Governance Committee Meeting

5 April 2023

Table of Contents

4.1	Inaugural Tūrangi Co-Governance Committee Meeting - 1 March 2023	
	Attachment 1 Tūrangi Co-Governance Committee Meeting Minutes - 1 March 2023.....	3
5.3	Public Forum	
	Attachment 1 Extracts from Standing Orders 2022-2025.....	6
5.5	Mana Whakahono work plan	
	Attachment 1 Mana Whakahono implementation workplan example.....	8
5.10	Request to remove trees from the berm adjoining 26 Hirangi Road, Tūrangi	
	Attachment 1 Arborist's assessment 26 Hirangi Road	17
5.11	Request to remove tree from the berm adjoining 10 Hinerangi Street, Tūrangi	
	Attachment 1 Arborist's assessment 10 Hinerangi Street, Turangi	21
5.12	Request to remove trees from the reserve strip adjoining 15/2 Noni Street, Tūrangi	
	Attachment 1 Arborist's assessment, Gums adjoining 15/2 Noni Street, Turangi	26

**TAUPŌ DISTRICT COUNCIL
MINUTES OF THE INAUGURAL TŪRANGI CO-GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE MEETING
HELD AT THE TŪRANGITUKUA BUILDING, 130 ATIRAU ROAD, TŪRANGI
ON WEDNESDAY, 1 MARCH 2023 AT 9:30AM**

PRESENT: Mayor David Trewavas (in the Chair), Mr Te Takinga New (Co-Chair), Member Christian Asher, Member Lauren Fletcher, Cr Sandra Greenslade, Member Amanda Martin, Cr Kevin Taylor, Member Amy Walker

IN ATTENDANCE: Chief Executive, Deputy Chief Executive, General Manager People and Customer, Environmental Services Manager, Legal Risk and Governance Manager, District Customer Relations Manager, Iwi and Co Governance Manager, Iwi Engagement Partner, Southern Lake Taupō Engagement Partner, Executive Manager Mayor's Office, Co-Governance Management Partner, Resource Consents Manager, Governance Quality Manager, Team Leader Customer Support Tūrangi, Communications Specialist, Senior Committee Advisor

MEDIA AND PUBLIC: Seven members of the public, including Ngāti Tūrangitukua whānau members.

- Notes:
- (i) Co-Chair Mr Te Takinga New opened the meeting with a mihi on behalf of Ngāti Tūrangitukua followed by a waiata. Co-Chair Mayor David Trewavas responded with a mihi on behalf of Taupō District Council followed by a waiata.
 - (ii) Co-Chair Mr Te Takinga New delivered a karakia before handing over to Co-Chair Mayor David Trewavas.
 - (iii) Cr Danny Loughlin apologised for not being able to be present due to a prior commitment.

1 KARAKIA

2 WHAKAPĀHA | APOLOGIES

Nil

3 NGĀ WHAKAPĀNGA TUKITUKI | CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Nil

4 WHAKAMANATANGA O NGĀ MENETI | CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Nil

5 NGĀ KAUPAPA HERE ME NGĀ WHAKATAUNGA | POLICY AND DECISION MAKING

5.1 ELECTION OF CO-CHAIR FOR THE MEETING

TCG202303/01 RESOLUTION

Moved: Mr Te Takinga New

Seconded: Member Lauren Fletcher

That the Tūrangi Co-Governance Committee elects Co-Chair Mayor David Trewavas to Chair this hui.

CARRIED

5.2 MIHIMIHI - INTRODUCTIONS

The Committee members introduced themselves to all present.

Deputy Mayor Cr Kevin Taylor delivered his pepeha and informed the Committee that he lived in the Taupō district by choice and was pleased to be there.

Cr Sandra Greenslade delivered her pepeha and informed the Committee that it was a privilege for her to be there and a step in the right direction for Tūrangi.

Member Amanda Martin informed the Committee that she lived and worked in Tūrangi for a counselling agency addressing drug abuse. She was looking forward to the development and growth of all and wanted the best outcomes for the community.

Member Amy Walker delivered her pepeha and connected the past, present and future with the whakataukī “Kia whakatōmuri te haere whakamua”. As a descendent of Ngāti Tūrangitukua she was a kaitiaki for them and would assist to guide them forward. She brought perspective to the Committee and would uphold and honour Tūrangitukua because what was good for them would be good for all.

Member Lauren Fletcher delivered her pepeha and informed the Committee that she was born and bred in Tūrangi benefitting from the education system run by her relatives in the township. She now worked for the Tūwharetoa Māori Trust Board and was Chair of the Ngāti Tūrangitukua Charitable Trust.

Member Christian Asher felt a sense of responsibility and acknowledged Amy Walker’s comments regarding looking to the past to bring a better future. It was a privilege and honour for him to serve among his community and hapū. He acknowledged his mentors and tutors and was blessed to serve in his community and be trusted by them.

Co-Chair Te Takinga New delivered his pepeha and acknowledged in both Te Reo Māori and English the effects of the past on the Ngāti Tūrangitukua people that had lived in this area. The building where the meeting was taking place had once been where decisions were passed to destroy the hill where it stood. It was therefore appropriate that this meeting took place in the same location in order to begin the restoration process.

While Ngāti Tūrangitukua had once had the ability to make all decisions relating to their lands, this had been taken from them and then returned in the Te Tiriti o Waitangi Settlement. Regardless of private ownership, Mr New acknowledged the land that had once belonged to them, acknowledged Pihanga and the aunties in the room who had once played there.

Mr New reflected that 11 years ago he had spoken with his grandmother when he was elected to the Tūrangi Tongariro Community Board and that it had taken a long time for Ngāti Tūrangitukua to have their voice heard. His great-grandmother had stood in front of tractors across the road from where they now stood where Ngātoroirangi had built a settlement. The landscapes had changed and Mr New acknowledged the dreams and aspirations his ancestors had once had for them. Moving forward, there was a big role for this Committee to play to support and empower better outcomes for the people and community of Tūrangi. Leadership was required in the town of Tūrangi by way of this Committee to ensure it was seen and heard.

Mr New acknowledged the effects of the recent Cyclone Gabrielle and thanked the community and staff for coming together to clear roads and make areas safe for others.

This inaugural hui was a big step towards welcoming everyone home. Ngāti Tūrangitukua looked forward to the induction at Hirangi Marae and sharing their values, including moving forward together.

Co-Chair Mayor David Trewavas supported what Te Takinga New had said regarding needing to move forward. He was honoured and proud to be part of this Committee and privileged to Chair the first hui. He thanked all of those attending.

TCG202303/02 RESOLUTION

Moved: Member Lauren Fletcher

Seconded: Cr Sandra Greenslade

That the Tūrangi Co-Governance Committee receives the information provided by members by way of mihi/introduction.

CARRIED

5.3 PURPOSE, PRINCIPLES AND PROCEDURES OF THE TŪRANGI CO-GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE

The General Manager People and Customer introduced herself with a mihi and her pepeha and acknowledged the mahi and all that had been achieved to bring this first Committee meeting into fruition. She confirmed that the principles of the Mana Whakahono ā Rohe would be followed and that the structure outlined in the report helped achieve efficiencies for the Committee going forward. She reiterated that Council staff were available to support the Committee's work, and the success of the Committee.

Co-Chairs Mayor David Trewavas and Mr Te Takinga New agreed that items to go on Committee agendas could be directed to them and they would liaise with Council staff to ensure they were added.

The Governance Quality Manager confirmed that the Standing Orders and the procedures to be appended to them would be for the current triennium (until 2025). She confirmed that each agenda going forward could include an item allowing members of the public to address the Committee. If no one wished to address the Committee, members could move on to the next item on the agenda.

TCG202303/03 RESOLUTION

Moved: Member Christian Asher

Seconded: Member Lauren Fletcher

That the Tūrangi Co-Governance Committee:

1. receives the information provided to support members in their role for the 2022-2025 Triennium; and
2. adopts the procedures to be appended to Standing Orders for the 2022-2025 Triennium.

CARRIED

6 NGĀ KŌRERO TŪMATAITI | CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS

Nil

The meeting closed at 10.19am.

The minutes of this meeting were confirmed at the Tūrangi Co-Governance Committee Meeting held on 5 April 2023.

.....
CHAIRPERSON

Extracts from Standing Orders 2022-2025

15. Public Forums | Ngā Matapakinga a te Marea

Public forums are a defined period of time, usually at the start of an ordinary meeting, which, at the discretion of a meeting, is put aside for the purpose of public input. Public forums are designed to enable members of the public to bring matters of their choice, not necessarily on the meeting's agenda, to the attention of the local authority.

In the case of a committee, subcommittee, local or community board, any issue, idea, or matter raised in a public forum, must fall within the terms of reference of that body.

15.1 Time limits | Ngā tepenga wā

A period of up to 30 minutes, or such longer time as the meeting may determine, will be available for the public forum at each scheduled local authority meeting. Requests must be made to the chief executive (or their delegate) at least one clear day before the meeting; however this requirement may be waived by the chairperson. Requests should also outline the matters that will be addressed by the speaker(s).

Speakers can speak for up to 5 minutes. Where the number of speakers presenting in the public forum exceeds 6 in total, the chairperson has discretion to restrict the speaking time permitted for all presenters.

15.2 Restrictions | Ngā Herenga

The chairperson has the discretion to decline to hear a speaker or to terminate a presentation at any time where:

- A speaker is repeating views presented by an earlier speaker at the same public forum;
- The speaker is criticising elected members and/or staff;
- The speaker is being repetitious, disrespectful or offensive;
- The speaker has previously spoken on the same issue;
- The matter is subject to legal proceedings; and
- The matter is subject to a hearing, including the hearing of submissions where the local authority or committee sits in a quasi-judicial capacity.

15.3 Questions at public forums | Ngā pātai i ngā matapakinga a te marea

At the conclusion of the presentation, with the permission of the chairperson, elected members may ask questions of speakers. Questions are to be confined to obtaining information or clarification on matters raised by a speaker.

15.4 No resolutions | Kāore he tatunga

Following the public forum, no debate or decisions will be made at the meeting on issues raised during the forum unless related to items already on the agenda. (See the LGNZ Guide to Standing Orders for suggestions of good practice in dealing with issues raised during a forum).

Extracts from Standing Orders 2022-2025**9.1 Items of business not on the agenda which cannot be delayed | Ngā take kāore i runga i te rārangi take e kore e taea te whakaroa**

A meeting may deal with an item of business that is not on the agenda where the meeting resolves to deal with that item and the chairperson provides the following information during the public part of the meeting:

- (a) The reason the item is not on the agenda; and
- (b) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.

LGOIMA, s 46A(7).

Items not on the agenda may be brought before the meeting through a report from either the chief executive or the chairperson.

Please note, that nothing in this standing order removes the requirement to meet the provisions of Part 6 of the LGA 2002 with regard to consultation and decision-making.

9.2 Discussion of minor matters not on the agenda | Te kōrerorero i ngā take iti kāore i runga i te rārangi take

A meeting may discuss an item that is not on the agenda only if it is a minor matter relating to the general business of the meeting and the chairperson explains at the beginning of the public part of the meeting that the item will be discussed. However, the meeting may not make a resolution, decision, or recommendation about the item, except to refer it to a subsequent meeting for further discussion.

LGOIMA, s 46A(7A).



NGĀTI TŪRANGITUKUA - TAUPŌ DISTRICT COUNCIL MANA WHAKAHONO

2022 / 23 Implementation: workplan



	Project	MWaR Clause #	Outputs	Lead	TDC project lead / team	Timeframe	Status
PART A: OVERARCHING MATTERS	<u>Communications protocol</u>	10.35	➤ Develop a communications protocol including engagement guidelines and processes so that both parties are clear who and how they should communicate with one another	Ngāti Tūrangitukua	Iwi & Co-governance Governance & democracy		
	<u>Committee procedures</u>	10.21[b]	➤ Develop bespoke committee procedures to ensure that the Committee operates in a manner that reflects the purpose and principles of this Mana Whakahono, Ngāti Tūrangitukua tikanga and the co-governance approach to the Committee	Ngāti Tūrangitukua / TDC	Governance & democracy		
	<u>Ngāti Tūrangitukua Rohe Map protocol</u>	19.1[g]	➤ Develop protocol to distinguish process for access and use of Ngāti Tūrangitukua Rohe Map	Ngāti Tūrangitukua	Iwi & Co-governance		
	<u>Inductions</u> [New Council staff & Ngāti Tūrangitukua hapū members]	9.1 9.2	➤ In order to recognise and give effect to this Mana Whakahono both Partners commit to inducting new staff and relevant contractors. ➤ For new Council staff in roles that will be directly involved with implementing the Mana Whakahono and new staff of Ngāti Tūrangitukua, unless otherwise agreed by the Partners, inductions at clause 9.1 will be held jointly on an annual basis and involve, at a minimum: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Ngāti Tūrangitukua sharing their history and presenting their expectations around the Mana Whakahono; ➤ staff attending a wānanga with Ngāti Tūrangitukua at a marae chosen by Ngāti Tūrangitukua; and ➤ Council presenting on the Council's roles and responsibilities as they relate to the Ngāti Tūrangitukua rohe including the decision-making requirements, planning cycle and funding, infrastructure management and reserve management. 	Ngāti Tūrangitukua / TDC Ngāti Tūrangitukua Ngāti Tūrangitukua TDC via numerous workshops	Governance & democracy Iwi & Co-governance		



	Project	MWaR Clause #	Outputs	Lead	TDC project lead / team	Timeframe	Status
	<u>Inductions</u> [Mayor and Councillors and the members of the Ngāti Tūrāngitukua Māori Committee and Ngāti Tūrāngitukua Charitable Trust]	9.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ For the Mayor and Councillors and the members of the Ngāti Tūrāngitukua Māori Committee and Charitable Trust, the inductions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ will take place at a venue agreed with Ngāti Tūrāngitukua; ➤ will take place in March 2022 (for the inaugural round of inductions); and then ➤ within 6 months of a triennial election ➤ The inductions at clause 9.3 will involve, at a minimum, the matters identified in clause 9.2. 	Ngāti Tūrāngitukua	Governance & democracy Iwi & Co-governance		
PART B: RMA MATTERS	<u>Plan Reviews and Plan changes – Early engagement</u>	21	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Within 6 months of commencement the Council and Ngāti Tūrāngitukua will meet to agree a pathway and timeframe in which to discuss future plan reviews and council plan changes, and the views and interests of Ngāti Tūrāngitukua in relation to those processes 	Ngāti Tūrāngitukua / TDC	Policy		
	<u>Resource consents</u>	24.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Within 6 months of the commencement date, the Council and Ngāti Tūrāngitukua will discuss and confirm Ngāti Tūrāngitukua's preferences in relation to the resource consent pre-application processes, including engagement with applicants to ensure RMA information requirements can be satisfied. 	Ngāti Tūrāngitukua / TDC	Resource Consents		
	<u>Monitoring</u>	26.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Within 12 months of the commencement date, the Council and Ngāti Tūrāngitukua will meet to agree a pathway and timeframe in which to discuss: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> future proposed monitoring processes; how Ngāti Tūrāngitukua will be provided regular updates on that monitoring including the monitoring results; how Ngāti Tūrāngitukua may potentially participate in those monitoring processes; the role of the Committee in these processes; and other opportunities for information sharing with Ngāti Tūrāngitukua. 	Ngāti Tūrāngitukua / TDC	Resource Consents		



	Project	MWaR Clause #	Outputs	Lead	TDC project lead / team	Timeframe	Status
PART C: LGA MATTERS	<u>Council Controlled Organisations</u>	30.1	The Council will include in each statement of expectation for Destination Great Lake Taupō (DGLT): a. a reference to and summary of this agreement (which will be provided to DGLT); b. a statement that the Council expects: i. that DGLT will enter into a relationship agreement with Ngāti Tūrangitukua within 12 months of the Commencement Date in order to give expression to the principles set out in clause [x] of Part A of this agreement, in the context of the DGLT's functions and to the extent consistent with DGLT's purpose outlined in its trust deed; ii. that the relationship with Ngāti Tūrangitukua will be clearly reflected in DGLT's statement of intent; and iii. that DGLT will report to the Council and Ngāti Tūrangitukua on an annual basis on progress in relation to these expectations.	Ngāti Tūrangitukua / TDC / DGLT	Community Engagement & Development		
		30.2	The Chair of DGLT will be invited to an annual meeting of the Committee.	Ngāti Tūrangitukua / TDC / DGLT	Community Engagement & Development		
		30.3	While Amplify is not a CCO, the Council will (subject to any necessary modification): a. include the matters referred to in clause 30.1 in any future contract with Amplify; and b. in the interim, request that Amplify comply with the matters set out in clause 30.1.	Ngāti Tūrangitukua / TDC / Amplify	Community Engagement & Development		



	Project	MWaR Clause #	Outputs	Lead	TDC project lead / team	Timeframe	Status
	<u>Partnership approach to policy, planning and regulatory development</u>	32.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Within 6 months of the Commencement Date the Council and Ngāti Tūrāngitukua (via the Committee) will meet to agree a pathway and timeframe in which to discuss the long term planning for the three waters infrastructure (including the priority areas identified above) and the views and interests of Ngāti Tūrāngitukua in relation to that infrastructure. ➤ That will be the beginning of a partnership approach (through regular engagement) between the parties on the long term planning of the three waters infrastructure which will help inform the Council's relevant strategies and policies including the Infrastructure Strategy. 	Ngāti Tūrāngitukua / TDC	Three Waters		
PART D: RESERVE MATTERS	<u>Tūrangi Reserve Management Plan</u>	37.3	<p>The Committee will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. commence its work on the reserve management plan as a matter of priority; and b. seek to complete and approve the reserve management plan within 18 months of commencing the plan process, or such later date as the Committee decides is reasonably practicable in the circumstances. 	Ngāti Tūrāngitukua / TDC	Policy		
PART D: RESERVE MATTERS	<u>Operational Management Matters</u>	38.2	The Committee will work through a process to identify and facilitate opportunities for Ngāti Tūrāngitukua to be involved in the management of the Reserves, including the day-to-day management.	Ngāti Tūrāngitukua / TDC	Parks & Reserves		
		38.3	The Committee and the Council reserves team will hold an annual operational management hui prior to the start of each financial year.	Ngāti Tūrāngitukua / TDC	Parks & Reserves		



Project	MWaR Clause #	Outputs	Lead	TDC project lead / team	Timeframe	Status
	38.4	<p>The purpose of the annual operational hui will include to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. discuss and agree a plan for the operational management of the Reserves by the Council for the following year; b. discuss any particular operational management initiatives or issues that need to be addressed; and c. address the opportunities for Ngāti Tūrangitukua to be involved in the operational management of the Reserves, including the day-to-day management. 	Ngāti Tūrangitukua / TDC	Parks & Reserves		
<u>Reserve admin Matters</u>	39.1	The Committee will oversee a range of administrative matters required to be undertaken for the Reserves, including in relation to classification of the Reserves.	Ngāti Tūrangitukua / TDC	Policy Parks & Reserves		
	39.2	One initial task for the Committee will be to oversee and approve a stocktake of the outstanding reserve administration matters to be completed.	Ngāti Tūrangitukua / TDC	Policy Parks & Reserves		
	39.3	<p>That process will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. be undertaken in conjunction with the reserve management planning process referred to in clause 37; and b. will include a review of Crown owned reserves identified in Schedule [x] where the Council has not yet been appointed as the administering body, with the objective being to determine whether that appointment could be made and then those reserves could be brought within the ambit of this Agreement. 	Ngāti Tūrangitukua / TDC	Policy Parks & Reserves		



	Project	MWaR Clause #	Outputs	Lead	TDC project lead / team	Timeframe	Status
PART E: OTHER MATTERS	<u>Review of Reserves</u>	40.1	The Committee will work through a process to explore: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. as referred to in section 28(7) of the Ngāti Tūrangitukua Claims Settlement Act 1999, whether all or part of the reserves referred to in that section are no longer required as reserves; and b. whether any reserves currently owned by the Council are no longer required as reserves. 	Ngāti Tūrangitukua / TDC	Policy Parks & Reserves		
		40.2	The Committee will make: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. the decisions in relation to the reserves referred to in clause 39.1(a); and b. recommendations to the Council in relation to any decisions under clause 39.1(b). 	Ngāti Tūrangitukua / TDC	Policy Parks & Reserves		
		40.3	That process will be undertaken in conjunction with the reserve management planning process referred to in clause 36.	Ngāti Tūrangitukua / TDC	Policy Parks & Reserves		
		40.4	Following the completion of the initial work required by clause 39.3, the process at clause 39.1 may be undertaken again at any time with the agreement of the Parties.	Ngāti Tūrangitukua / TDC	Policy Parks & Reserves		
	<u>Urupa Maintenance</u>	44.2	The Partners will agree an urupa maintenance plan within 12 months of the Commencement date. Funding for the implementation of the maintenance plan will be considered by Council as part of the next available Annual Plan process..	Ngāti Tūrangitukua / TDC	Parks & Reserves		
	<u>Training & Capacity Building</u>	45.1	The Partners commit to working together on training and capacity building and including this in the work-plan required by clause [X].	Ngāti Tūrangitukua / TDC	Iwi & Co-overnance		
		45.3	Unless otherwise agreed in writing, the Partners commit to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. exploring opportunities for working from each others work places; 	Ngāti Tūrangitukua / TDC	Iwi & Co-overnance		



	Project	MWaR Clause #	Outputs	Lead	TDC project lead / team	Timeframe	Status
			b. each providing a summer intern to one another for work-experience (to be paid for by Council).				
	Turanakitukua Park Shared Community Club rooms	10.19[d]	➤ Determine the location and design of community facilities to achieve the outcomes agreed by Council within any budget constraints set by Council. In fulfilling this role, the Committee will set out the parameters of any desired co-design process	Ngāti Tūrangitukua / TDC	Project Manager		
	Te Kapua Park playground upgrade	10.19[d]	➤ Determine the location and design of community facilities to achieve the outcomes agreed by Council within any budget constraints set by Council. In fulfilling this role, the Committee will set out the parameters of any desired co-design process	Ngāti Tūrangitukua / TDC	Project Manager		
	Tūrangi Recreational Activity Centre	10.19[d]	➤ Determine the location and design of community facilities to achieve the outcomes agreed by Council within any budget constraints set by Council. In fulfilling this role, the Committee will set out the parameters of any desired co-design process	Ngāti Tūrangitukua / TDC	Project Manager		
	Appointment of Hearings Commissioners for within the Mana Whakahono Boundary	Schedule 2:	➤ Refer pg. 55 of Mana Whakahono agreement for district wide process. ➤ Ngāti Tūrangitukua will be invited [no later than July 2023] to prepare a report to the Committee for the confirmation of a Tūrangi list of hearings commissioners. ➤ The Tūrangi list will consist of: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> the specialists with an understanding of tikanga, mātauranga Māori and the perspectives of local iwi or hapū that Ngāti Tūrangitukua nominated to the commissioner list appointed by the full Council; a selection of specialists in planning, transportation, economics, landscape and/or urban design; and 	Ngāti Tūrangitukua / TDC	Policy Resource Consents		



	Project	MWaR Clause #	Outputs	Lead	TDC project lead / team	Timeframe	Status
			<p>c. no more than five commissioners with the chairperson qualification to sit as chairperson in the first instance – (note: more than one panel member may have the chairperson accreditation).</p> <p>➤ The Tūrangi list will remain in place for three years, when the process will be repeated. The Tūrangi list can be modified with the agreement of Council and iwi partners, involved in appointing the district wide pool, where commissioners are no longer available for commissioner work or new</p> <p>➤ commissioners that meet the criteria become available.</p>				

Appendix 1 - Council Tree Assessment Checklist

(Note: for provisions relating to notable and amenity trees and trees and indigenous trees and vegetation within significant natural areas – refer to the Taupō District Plan)

Ref / Request no 2000266

Location 26 Hirangi Road, Turangi

Tree Species Pin oak (*Quercus palustris*) X 2

Girth 1780 / 2060 mm

Height 15 / 15m

Spread 14 / 15 m

Approximate Age ≈50 years (est.)

Tree Health/Condition (1 excellent – 5 very poor) 2

Berm Width**1 Roadway Damage Assessment**

1.1	Footpath damage	<u>Yes</u> /No	
1.2	Has the footpath been replaced	<u>Yes</u> /No	
1.3	Kerb & channel damaged	<u>Yes</u> /No	
1.4	Road pavement damage	<u>Yes</u> /No	
1.5	Buried services damaged	<u>Yes</u> /No	unknown

2 Adjoining Property Impact Assessment

2.1	What side of the property is tree on	North/ <u>South</u> /East/West
2.2	Is tree over shading the property in winter	<u>Yes</u> /No
2.3	Is tree damaging the property	<u>Yes</u> /No
2.4	Comment on tree damage	

Lifting block pavers on customer's property, between property boundary and front door, see photos. This could be remedied by pruning of shallow roots crossing the property boundary in the vicinity of the affected paving and installation of root barrier.

There is minor damage to public footpath, the footpath still functional and trip hazards have been mitigated.

The trees have been crown-raised and pruned back to reduce canopy encroachment over the customer's property.

2.5	Is tree a danger to the property if it falls	<u>Yes</u> /No	
2.6	Do the adjoining owners want the tree removed	<u>Yes</u> /No	
2.7	Is the tree encroaching on power lines	<u>Yes</u> /No	
2.8	Is the tree adversely impacting on adjoining services e.g. footpaths, roads, underground services	<u>Yes</u> /No	Minor, as above
2.9	Is there erosion potential if the tree is removed?	<u>Yes</u> /No	

3 Values and Options Assessment

- 3.1 Does the tree have formal protection e.g. District Plan, Reserve Act, Covenant Yes/No
- 3.2 Has the tree historic or other significance Yes/No
- 3.3 Can the tree be pruned to overcome the current problem Yes/No
- 3.4 What is the frequency of trees on the street

There are several other trees of the same species, size and age in this section of the street -the trees are part of a mature street planting scheme.

- 3.5 Are there mature trees in private gardens, parks or street berms adjoining this site Yes/No

- 3.6 How significant is the tree in the local landscape

The trees are reasonably prominent in the local landscape due to their size and their collective contribution to a mature, established, street tree scheme. However there are numerous mature trees in the vicinity, particularly on the nearby marae, cemetery and sports park.

4 Proposed Action

- 4.1 What species of tree is a suitable replacement?

N/A

- 4.2 Do you recommend the removal of the tree Yes/No
- 4.3 Do you recommend the replacement of the tree Yes/No N/A
- 4.4 Can work be safely completed near power lines or is Power Authority Consent required Yes/No N/A
- 4.5 General comment:

The customer has raised concerns that the trees may fall on their house, however they are healthy, good quality specimens showing no sign of any significant structural issue, instability or decline and there is no arboricultural justification for tree removal. The trees have been subject to substantial canopy pruning and no further pruning is recommended.

The root issue can be remedied by alternative means, i.e. root pruning and installation of root barrier along a short section of the property boundary, adjacent to the block paving. This will affect only a small proportion of the western tree's root zone and mitigate any hardship being experienced by the customer due to root incursion.

- 4.6 Photos taken? (attach photos) AS BELOW Yes/No

Parks Officer Name Adrian Lamont Date 24/8/20

PHOTOS

The subject trees, with customer's property to the rear.



The trees in relation to the property boundary.



Position of affected block paving in relation to the western tree.



Damage to block paving.

Appendix 1 – Council Tree Assessment Checklist

(Note: for provisions relating to notable and amenity trees and indigenous trees and vegetation within significant natural areas – refer to Taupo District Plan)

Location 10 Hirangi Street, Turangi

Tree Species Scarlet Oak (*Quercus coccinea*)

Girth 0.5

Height 18m

Spread 10m

Approximate age 40+

Tree Health/Condition (1 excellent – 5 very poor) 3 Good

Berm Width

1 Roadway Damage Assessment

1.1	Footpath damage	no
1.2	Has the footpath been replaced	no
1.3	Kerb & channel damaged	no
1.4	Road pavement damage	no
1.5	Buried services damaged	No

2 Adjoining Property Impact Assessment

2.1	What side of the property is the tree on	South
2.2	Is the tree over shading the property in winter	No
2.3	Is the tree damaging the property	No
2.4	Comment on tree damage	

.Tree is currently contained within berm without any significant obvious impact on infrastructure. The usual lifting of the ground level associated with maturing Oaks is present, with only slight pushing out of the kerb line.

2.5	Is the tree a danger to the property if it falls	Yes
2.6	Do the adjoining owners want the tree removed	Yes
2.7	Is the tree encroaching on power lines	No
2.8	Is the tree adversely impacting on adjoining services	
	e.g footpaths, roads, underground services	No



3 Values and Options Assessment

- 3.1 Does the tree have formal protection e.g.
District Plan, Reserves Act, Covenant No
- 3.2 Has the tree historic or other significance No [not aware of any]
- 3.3 Can the tree be pruned to overcome
the current problem No
- 3.4 What is the frequency of trees on the street
1 per berm to 1 per 2-3 berms
- 3.5 Are there mature trees in private gardens, parks or
Street berms adjoining this site Yes
- 3.6 How significant is the tree in the local landscape

There are a number of trees of the same species within the street, along with a mix of smaller exotic trees. The original planting scheme [which appears to be of these oaks] is some what broken by removals of mature trees and the smaller species interspersed. The tree is reasonably prominent but there are other, better examples of Scarlet Oak around it.

4 Proposed Action

- 4.1 What species of tree is a suitable replacement?

If removal is approved would suggest a smaller species such as Acer sp. or Pyrus sp. that will impact less on the adjoining property. This could also be planted within berms that are currently without trees or as replacements for some of the smaller species in the street.

- 4.2 Do you recommend the removal of the tree No
- 4.3 Do you recommend the replacement of the tree Yes if decided to be removed
- 4.4 Can work be safely completed near power lines
Or is Power Authority Consent required Yes/No n/a
- 4.5 General comment:

The customer is requesting the removal of the tree as it restricts their plans to build on the site. In particular the tree will interfere with the placement of the driveway. Alternative methods of driveway establishment are widely used that while still providing the same vehicle access they limit the impact on the trees roots and eliminate the need to cut through structural roots. A suitably qualified/experienced arborist could assist their builder in both the design and installation of this. Some minor crown reduction could be undertaken to improve the shape of the tree but it has grown in such a way that reduction from the neighbouring property is not seen as necessary.

- 4.6 Photos taken? (attach photos) Yes



Location



Tree looking down Hinerangi Street from the Eastern end.



Tree looking from across the road



Tree looking up the road from western end

Appendix 1 – Council Tree Assessment Checklist

(Note: for provisions relating to notable and amenity trees and indigenous trees and vegetation within significant natural areas – refer to Taupo District Plan)

Location Rear of 15/2 Noni Street, Turangi

Tree Species Gum (*Eucalyptus sp.*) x 2

Girth 0.3m & 0.7m

Height 25m

Spread 12-15m

Approximate age

Tree Health/Condition (1 excellent – 5 very poor) 2

Berm Width

1 Roadway Damage Assessment

1.1	Footpath damage	n/a
1.2	Has the footpath been replaced	n/a
1.3	Kerb & channel damaged	n/a
1.4	Road pavement damage	n/a
1.5	Buried services damaged	No

2 Adjoining Property Impact Assessment

2.1	What side of the property is the tree on	North
2.2	Is the tree over shading the property in winter	Yes
2.3	Is the tree damaging the property	No
2.4	Comment on tree damage	

Line of trees planted as wind break for neighbouring property most likely on northern boundary of proposed subdivision. Currently no sign of damage, applicant concerned about risk of trees falling onto proposed houses within subdivision. Some sign of dead wood within canopy and small limbs on ground at base of trees. Typical with this species, not a sign of decline or poor health.

2.5	Is the tree a danger to the property if it falls	Yes
2.6	Do the adjoining owners want the tree removed	Yes
2.7	Is the tree encroaching on power lines	No
2.8	Is the tree adversely impacting on adjoining services e.g footpaths, roads, underground services	No



3 Values and Options Assessment

- 3.1 Does the tree have formal protection e.g.
District Plan, Reserves Act, Covenant No
- 3.2 Has the tree historic or other significance No [not aware of any]
- 3.3 Can the tree be pruned to overcome
the current problem No
- 3.4 What is the frequency of trees on the street
1 per berm to 1 per 2-3 berms
- 3.5 Are there mature trees in private gardens, parks or
Street berms adjoining this site Yes
- 3.6 How significant is the tree in the local landscape

Trees are quite significant on the landscape as they are the largest within the area. Adjoining property [hostel/accommodation] has smaller scattered trees but mostly open grassed area with buildings set throughout. Gums are located beside a Douglas Fir hedge/wind break with self-sown gums growing throughout. Recommend removing these before they mature further. Hedge line has limited life by comparison to the gums and will likely impact more on neighbouring properties than the gums by way of shading and leaf litter.

4 Proposed Action

- 4.1 What species of tree is a suitable replacement?

Knightsia excelsa - Rewarewa

- 4.2 Do you recommend the removal of the tree No
- 4.3 Do you recommend the replacement of the tree Yes if decided to be removed
- 4.4 Can work be safely completed near power lines
Or is Power Authority Consent required Yes/No n/a
- 4.5 General comment:

The applicant is requesting the removal of the 2 x Gum trees on the basis that if they were to fall on the houses [yet to be built] in the adjoining subdivision they would do significant damage, along with falling limbs the trees pose a significant hazard. Both trees are in over good health with good foliar cover and no structural defects. There is no arboriculture reason to justify their removal. The trees display some dead wood and there is sign of limb fall at the base of the trees. This is typical of this species. I would recommend a suitably qualified arborist climb the trees to any of this dead wood. The trees should be regularly inspected & maintain as with other gums in the region.

The wind break of Douglas Firs & small mixed Conifers is typical of a hedge row of this kind that has not received ongoing maintenance. As the trees have grown beyond a hedge as such I would recommend crown lifting to allow some light above the fenceline. This is likely to create more problems than the gums for the new housing and it maybe worth considering replacing with specimen planting of Rewarewa, a

species that wont impact greatly on the neighbouring site, but will do well and attract birdlife.

4.6 Photos taken? (attach photos) Yes



An aerial photograph of a residential area. A yellow dot is placed on a property near the intersection of a road and State Highway 4. The road is labeled 'State Highway 4'. Other roads visible include 'Kear Street' and 'Taupo'. Property numbers are visible on the map.

Location

A photograph of a large, mature tree with dense green foliage. The tree has a thick trunk and a full canopy. It is standing against a blue sky with some clouds. The tree appears to be a Kauri pine.

The subject tree viewed from Noni Street subdivision



Small self-sown Gums, highlighted in yellow



Recommended level of crown lifting of Douglas fir etc to achieve some light over boundary fence.