

**I give notice that
an Audit & Risk Committee Meeting will be held on:**

Date:	Monday, 10 June 2019
Time:	10.00am
Location:	Council Chamber 107 Heuheu Street Taupō

AGENDA

MEMBERSHIP

Chairperson Mr Anthony Byett

Deputy Chairperson Cr Barry Hickling

Members

- Mayor David Trewavas
- Cr Rosie Harvey
- Cr Rosanne Jollands
- Cr Anna Park
- Cr Maggie Stewart

Quorum 4

Gareth Green
Chief Executive Officer

Order Of Business

1	Apologies	
2	Conflicts of Interest	
3	Confirmation of Minutes	
3.1	Audit & Risk Committee Meeting - 11 March 2019	3
4	Reports	
4.1	Civil Aviation Authority Safety Management System Certification Audit	4
4.2	Project Quantum Update	5
4.3	Poolsafe Audit - Taupo and Turangi Pool Complexes.....	7
4.4	Audit of Taupo District Council's Building Consent Authority	9
4.5	Audit New Zealand report on Taupo District Council's administrative headquarters building project	11
4.6	Report on the audit of Taupo District Council's Consultation Document to amend the Long-term Plan for the period 1 July 2018 to 30 June 2028.....	12
4.7	Taupo District Council Community Grants & Partnerships Policy 2015 compliance audit - draft Terms of Reference	13
4.8	Procurement Policy compliance audit - PricewaterhouseCoopers.....	14
4.9	Treasury Management Policy compliance audit - PricewaterhouseCoopers	15
4.10	Review of Risk Management Charter 2017/18	16
4.11	Council's Insurance Strategy Development and Renewal Programme 2019.....	19
4.12	Audit & Risk Committee Schedule of Policies for Review	20
5	Confidential Business	
5.1	Confirmation of Confidential Portion of Audit & Risk Committee Minutes - 11 March 2019	21
5.2	Draft Audit New Zealand report to Council on the interim audit for the year ending 30 June 2019	21
5.3	Waiora House project - review of asbestos management and compliance with Council's asbestos management plan	21
5.4	Turangi Financial and Rating Analysis interim report - PricewaterhouseCoopers	21
5.5	Litigation Update	21

3.1 AUDIT & RISK COMMITTEE MEETING - 11 MARCH 2019

Author: Shainey James, Democratic Services Officer

Authorised by: Tina Jakes, Head of Democracy, Governance and Venues

RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the minutes of the Audit & Risk Committee meeting held on Monday 11 March 2019 be confirmed as a true and correct record.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Audit & Risk Committee Meeting Minutes - 11 March 2019

4.1 CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY SAFETY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM CERTIFICATION AUDIT

Author: John Ridd, Head of Economic Development and Business Transformation

Authorised by: Gareth Green, Chief Executive Officer

PURPOSE

To receive an update following the recent Civil Aviation Authority audit of the Safety Management System at Taupō Airport.

DISCUSSION

The Civil Aviation Authority audited Taupō Airport's Safety Management System earlier this year. The system was approved and the letter of confirmation is attached for information.

CONCLUSION

It is recommended that the Committee receives the update on the Civil Aviation Authority's approval of Taupō Airport's Safety Management System.

RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the Audit & Risk Committee receives the update on the Civil Aviation Authority's audit of the Safety Management System at Taupō Airport.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Civil Aviation Authority Approval of Safety Management System - Taupo Airport

4.2 PROJECT QUANTUM UPDATE

Author: Kirk Matheson, Enterprise Data Architect

Authorised by: John Ridd, Head of Economic Development and Business Transformation

PURPOSE

To receive an update on the Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) replacement project (Project Quantum) and Governance Structure.

RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the Audit & Risk Committee receives this report.

BACKGROUND

The proposal has been before Council at a prior meeting on 11 December 2018, refer item number 5.3 and the following resolutions were made: That Council approves entering into a five-year contract for the provision of software services with Technology One to value of \$2,588,267 + GST.

As a result, the following actions have been undertaken:

1. Following further due diligence and contract negotiation, the contract with TechnologyOne was entered into on 22 March 2019
2. The project continues to be managed in accordance with the Taupō District Council Project Framework.
3. The Execution Phase will be implemented using TechnologyOne's Solution Implementation Methodology v2.0
4. The project will be delivered in two phases:
 - a. Phase 1 will implement Human Resources and Payroll functions
 - b. Phase 2 will implement Financial, Property, Regulatory, Compliance and Customer Services functions
5. A project schedule is being developed in partnership with TechnologyOne. Initial planning indicates the Go Live dates will be:
 - a. Phase 1 – November 2019
 - b. Phase 2 – November 2020
6. Governance meetings are scheduled monthly and additional meetings will be added as needed. Governance includes key representatives from the Senior Leadership Team, TechnologyOne and the Taupō District Council's Implementation Team
7. To minimise implementation costs Taupō District Council's Implementation Team is largely internal.
8. External consultants or advisory may be engaged in areas where it is uneconomic for TDC to hire or develop internal capability. These areas are currently known to be:
 - a. Elements of the Data Migration
 - b. Solution Architecture
 - c. Independent Organisational Change and Project Management Review and Advisory

DISCUSSION

There are currently no options requiring discussion and no decisions are being sought.

CONSIDERATIONS**Risks**

Below is a summary of the known risks.

Risk Theme	High, Med, Low	Risk Mitigation
Organisational Change Management This type and scale of Organisational Change Management is new to Taupō District Council and this may impact user adoption of the solution and the ability to realise the project benefits	High	Change planning underway with independent advisory appointed Development of internal skills and ownership of change and improvement Development and the use of Business Readiness Maturity Models Early development and communication of the system familiarisation and training plan Early assessment of application performance (screen response) The opportunity for a gradual release of changes is being investigated
Resourcing Business representatives required for key parts of the project may not be available delaying design input, test execution and training	Med	Project planning considered and avoids periods of peak activity in affected teams Key staff have been identified in advance and support for BAU activities is in place
Technical Risks The current solution has been in place for several decades. There is the risk of additional costs and extended timeline in relation to the data migration complexity and discovery of previously unknown interfaces	Med	Interface catalogue developed, and detailed investigation of integrations is underway The number and scale of interfaces in scope for both Phases has been limited Internal resources identified to develop the capability to integrate using the system's configurable elements
Application performance (screen response) is adversely impacted	Low	Initial latency testing has been positive Performance/Load testing to be done during UAT. Implement performance improvements to bring application performance to acceptable levels.

COMMUNICATION/MEDIA

A communications plan is being prepared in consultation with the communications team.

Phase 1 is not expected to have an impact on public facing services and suppliers.

Phase 2 will have the greatest impact on public facing services and suppliers.

ATTACHMENTS

Nil

4.3 POOLSAFE AUDIT - TAUPŌ AND TURANGI POOL COMPLEXES

Author: Scott Attenborough, Business and Operations Manager

Authorised by: Tina Jakes, Head of Democracy, Governance and Venues

PURPOSE

The purpose of this item is to present the results of the annual PoolSafe accreditation audit for the Taupō (AC Baths) and Turangi pool complexes.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PoolSafe accreditation is a Long-term Plan performance measure for both the Taupō and Turangi pools. Assessors will review operational standards and procedures against actual day to day activities. This assessment is carried out annually by independent industry professionals. PoolSafe accreditation has been deemed by previous councils as a vehicle to minimise injury and harm in what is a relatively high risk environment. Accreditation has again been granted for both pool complexes.

RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the Audit & Risk Committee receives the PoolSafe Accreditation Audit Report for the Taupō and Turangi Pool Complexes dated February 2019.

BACKGROUND

The PoolSafe Quality Management Scheme (PoolSafe) is an independent assessment of public pools to ensure that their operations and facilities are safe. There are currently 214 public pools in New Zealand and 132 of those are members of the PoolSafe scheme.

Jointly developed in 2001 by the New Zealand Recreation Association (NZRA) and Water Safety New Zealand (WSNZ) PoolSafe is an industry led, voluntary management system designed by industry for industry to peer assess and moderate their delivery of public aquatic facilities services, with the direct intent to limit serious harm to their communities.

DISCUSSION

PoolSafe accreditation is a Long-term Plan performance measure for both the Taupō and Turangi pools. Assessors will review operational standards and procedures against actual day to day activities. This assessment is carried out annually by independent industry professionals. PoolSafe accreditation has been deemed by previous councils as a vehicle to minimise injury and harm in what is a relatively high risk environment. Accreditation has again been granted for both pool complexes.

CONSIDERATIONS**Financial Considerations**

The cost for accreditation is budgeted for and accreditation should have a positive effect on insurance costs, as strict procedures for operating pools and minimising risk are inherent within accreditation.

Annual Plan

The expenditure outlined is currently budgeted for under the costs of operating the pools.

Legal ConsiderationsLocal Government Act 2002

The matter comes within scope of the Council's lawful powers, including satisfying the purpose statement of Section 10 of the Local Government Act 2002. The matter will enable the Council to meet the current and future needs of communities for good quality local public services (i.e. efficient, effective and appropriate to present and anticipated future circumstances).

The matter assists Council in providing local public services.

Policy Implications

With retention of PoolSafe Accreditation there are no policy implications. If Council was to determine it was to exit the scheme, then this would require a change to performance measures as part of the next Long-term Plan review process.

Risks

The accreditation scheme has been designed by industry professionals to minimise the risk of harm to users. Detailed operating procedures exist and all operational staff are trained in those procedures. Pools are a high risk activity and incidents do occur but with highly trained staff and consistent procedures the potential for major incidents [eg: drowning] are minimised, although still very real.

SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DECISION OR PROPOSAL

Council's Significance and Engagement policy identifies the following matters that are to be taken into account when assessing the degree of significance of proposals and decisions:

- a. The level of financial consequences of the proposal or decision;
- b. Whether the proposal or decision will affect a large portion of the community or community of interest;
- c. The likely impact on present and future interests of the community, recognising Maori cultural values and their relationship to land and water;
- d. Whether the proposal affects the level of service of an activity identified in the Long Term Plan;
- e. Whether community interest is high; and
- f. The capacity of Council to perform its role and the financial and other costs of doing so.

Officers have undertaken a rounded assessment of the matters in clause 11 of the Significance and Engagement Policy (2016), and are of the opinion that the proposal under consideration is of low importance.

ENGAGEMENT

Taking into consideration the above assessment, that the decision is of a low degree of significance, officers are of the opinion that no further engagement is required prior to the committee making a decision to receive the audit.

COMMUNICATION/MEDIA

Decisions made by Council should be communicated in the appropriate manner. Media releases on successful accreditation have been prepared by the Communications team.

CONCLUSION

PoolSafe accreditation is an important part of the pools operations to minimise risk to its users and Councils staff. There is high risk of serious injury and harm but the accreditation has provided a basis for sound training and operational procedures to minimise that risk, although risk is still ever present.

ATTACHMENTS

1. AC Baths Poolsafe report February 2019
2. Turangi Aquatic Centre Poolsafe report February 2019

4.4 AUDIT OF TAUPŌ DISTRICT COUNCIL'S BUILDING CONSENT AUTHORITY

Author: Scott Devonport, Consents and Regulatory Manager

Authorised by: Brian Fox, Head of Regulatory and Risk

PURPOSE

To update the Audit and Risk Committee on the outcome of the audit undertaken 6-9 March 2019 by IANZ of the Building Consent Authority functions and the actions taken since the report was published.

DISCUSSION

Taupō District Council as required by the Building Act is a Building Consent Authority (BCA), which is a requirement to issue building consents and undertake building inspections. This is a critical area for Council as it ensures building work can proceed in a safe and efficient manner and provides confidence to the public that buildings they live in and work in meet a high standard.

In order to maintain our role as a BCA, the Ministry of Business Innovation and Employment (MBIE) contract IANZ to undertake comprehensive audits of all BCA's every two years, or more often if serious issues are discovered.

On the 6-9 March 2019, IANZ auditors (Caroline Osborne and Colin Pickering) accompanied by an MBIE representative (Mike Reedy), undertook an audit of TDC's BCA functions, the results of the audit are attached to this agenda.

Since our last audit, the regulations and approach to the IANZ audit have been amended to provide more certainty to all parties involved in the audits. This was as a result of regular complaints about IANZ being inconsistent in their approach to audits. The result of this are a more detailed and comprehensive set of guidelines, which MBIE have stressed will generally result in more non-compliance being identified in the first round of audits. Hence MBIE in their role have overseen each IANZ audit to observe the effectiveness of the changes and provide consistency.

In total the results of the audit TDC received one (1) serious non-compliance (SNC) and 32 general non-compliances. Below is a brief summary of the key non-compliances:

- The serious non-compliance related to our policy not specifically mentioning the need to notify MBIE and IANZ of any significant changes in the BCA. Given this policy was missing in the Quality Assurance Manual (QAM), the new guidelines require it to be a SNC. This was addressed and signed off while IANZ were onsite with a simple inclusion in the QAM.
- Ensuring allocation of work is inline with officers competencies throughout the life of the project. It was identified in a couple of situations that complexity of the work was not clearly captured in our electronic processing system and this resulted in it not being identified for the subsequent inspections. An audit of the specific examples did not raise any issues though on the quality work undertaken.
- Compliance schedules require much more detail from the designer of the building rather than officers compiling this information, this will likely require more rejections of applications at vetting stage and additional information during processing.
- Staffing levels of the BCA were not considered to be adequate, a plan will need to be provided to show how FTE numbers can meet expected workloads. One BCA officer also needs to immediately enrol in a recognised qualification programme as they have fallen outside the 12 month timeframe to commence formal training.

The requirement is to provide a plan for how the non-compliances will be addressed, this has been done and approved by IANZ. The plan now requires implementation and evidence of that implementation to be completed by 14 June 2019, at which point our accreditation will be formalised and the next audit undertaken in March 2021.

CONCLUSION

The audit undertaken by IANZ has raised some key areas that require some additional attention and focus, namely around; compliance schedules, continuous improvements, staffing levels and training. Many of the areas raised are relatively minor adjustments to process and procedures and easily achievable. It is

expected all areas will be addressed by 14 June 2019 and our accreditation will be ratified. The next full audit is programmed for March 2021.

RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the Audit & Risk Committee receives the Building Consent Authority Accreditation Assessment Report [Objective Reference A2445120]

ATTACHMENTS

1. IANZ BCA Accreditation Assessment Report 6-9 March 2019

4.5 AUDIT NEW ZEALAND REPORT ON TAUPO DISTRICT COUNCIL'S ADMINISTRATIVE HEADQUARTERS BUILDING PROJECT

Author: Brian Fox, Head of Regulatory and Risk

Authorised by: Gareth Green, Chief Executive Officer

PURPOSE

To receive a report from Audit New Zealand on the administrative headquarters building project for Taupō District Council.

DISCUSSION

Audit New Zealand Technical Services have been engaged on a staged basis to provide probity and assurance advice and guidance on Taupō District Council's processes with regard to the new Council administration building project. Audit New Zealand's second report on this project is attached for receipt.

CONCLUSION

It is recommended that the Committee receives the report for information.

RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the Audit & Risk Committee receives the Audit New Zealand report dated 15 February 2019 on the administrative headquarters building project for Taupō District Council.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Audit New Zealand report - administrative headquarters building project

4.6 REPORT ON THE AUDIT OF TAUPŌ DISTRICT COUNCIL'S CONSULTATION DOCUMENT TO AMEND THE LONG-TERM PLAN FOR THE PERIOD 1 JULY 2018 TO 30 JUNE 2028

Author: Hadley Tattle, Senior Policy Advisor

Authorised by: Alan Menhennet, Head of Finance and Strategy

PURPOSE

To receive a report on the audit of Taupō District Council's Consultation Document to amend the Long-term Plan 2018-28 (LTP).

DISCUSSION

Audit New Zealand have provided a report on the audit of Taupō District Council's Consultation Document to amend the LTP. The report is attached for receipt.

CONCLUSION

It is recommended that the Committee receives the report for information.

RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the Audit & Risk Committee receives the Audit New Zealand report on the audit of Taupō District Council's Consultation Document to amend the Long-term Plan 2018-28.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Report on the audit of Taupo District Council's Consultation Document to amend the Long-term Plan

4.7 TAUPO DISTRICT COUNCIL COMMUNITY GRANTS & PARTNERSHIPS POLICY 2015 COMPLIANCE AUDIT - DRAFT TERMS OF REFERENCE

Author: Brian Fox, Head of Regulatory and Risk

Authorised by: Gareth Green, Chief Executive Officer

PURPOSE

To consider and approve the draft Terms of Reference for an audit of Taupō District Council's compliance with its Grants & Partnerships Policy 2015.

DISCUSSION

PricewaterhouseCoopers will conduct an audit of Council's compliance with its Grants & Partnerships Policy 2015. The draft Terms of Reference are attached for the Committee's consideration and approval.

CONCLUSION

It is recommended that the Committee considers the draft Terms of Reference for the PWC audit of Council's compliance with its Grants & Partnerships Policy 2015.

RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the Audit & Risk Committee approves the draft Terms of Reference for PricewaterhouseCoopers audit of Council's compliance with its Grants & Partnerships Policy 2015.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Taupo District Council Community Grants & Partnerships Policy compliance audit - draft Terms of Reference

4.8 PROCUREMENT POLICY COMPLIANCE AUDIT - PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Author: Brian Fox, Head of Regulatory and Risk

Authorised by: Gareth Green, Chief Executive Officer

PURPOSE

To receive a report from PricewaterhouseCoopers on the audit of Taupō District Council's compliance with its Procurement Policy.

DISCUSSION

PricewaterhouseCoopers were engaged to audit Taupō District Council's Procurement Policy compliance. The report has been issued and is attached.

CONCLUSION

It is recommended that the Committee receives the information.

RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the Audit & Risk Committee receives the report from PricewaterhouseCoopers, dated 25 February 2019 - Taupō District Council Procurement Policy.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Report from PricewaterhouseCoopers - Taupo District Council Procurement Policy compliance audit

4.9 TREASURY MANAGEMENT POLICY COMPLIANCE AUDIT - PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Author: Brian Fox, Head of Regulatory and Risk

Authorised by: Gareth Green, Chief Executive Officer

PURPOSE

To receive a report from PricewaterhouseCoopers on the audit of Taupō District Council's compliance with its Treasury Management Policy.

DISCUSSION

PricewaterhouseCoopers were engaged to audit Taupō District Council's Treasury Management Policy compliance. The report has been issued and is attached.

CONCLUSION

It is recommended that the Committee receives the information.

RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the Audit & Risk Committee receives the report from PricewaterhouseCoopers - Taupō District Council Treasury Management Policy.

ATTACHMENTS

1. PricewaterhouseCooper report dated 25 February 2019 - Treasury Management

4.10 REVIEW OF RISK MANAGEMENT CHARTER 2017/18

Author: Jamie Dale, Risk Manager

Authorised by: Brian Fox, Head of Regulatory and Risk

PURPOSE

Review and approve the proposed updated Risk Management Charter.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The current Risk Management Charter 2017/18 is due to be reviewed. Since the charter's adoption in October 2017, the international standard for risk management guidelines, ISO 3100 has been updated to ISO 3100: 2018 and reflects best international best practice in risk management.

The existing Risk Charter has been reviewed against ISO 3100: 2018, the current environment, and the objectives of the current Long Term Plan and updated accordingly.

RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the Audit & Risk Committee reviews and approves the updated Risk Management Charter.

BACKGROUND

The proposal has not been presented previously.

The current Risk Management Charter 2017/18 requires an annual review. Since its adoption in October 2017 no review has been undertaken and one is now due.

The current risk management charter was reviewed by PricewaterhouseCoopers (PWC) and their recommendations were incorporated into it and adopted by the committee. Since its adoption in October 2017 the international standard for risk management guidelines, ISO 3100 has been updated to reflect current best practices in risk management. In addition to this the Council adopted the 2018 – 2018 Long Term Plan in 2018.

DISCUSSION

The environment for risk is changing and our approach to risk management needs to evolve to respond to these changes. Failure to manage risks is inherently risking failure.

The revision of ISO 3100 was undertaken to ensure greater clarity by using simpler language to facilitate understanding and make it accessible to all stakeholders. The 2018 version places a greater focus on creating and protecting value as the key driver of risk management and features other related principles such as continual improvement, the inclusion of stakeholders, being customised to the organisation and consideration of human and cultural factors. It is in effect a modernisation of the standard to make it more relevant to today's business practices and goals. Previous versions of the standard were designed for certification purposes and focussed on requirements. The new version now provides recommendations not requirements as part of being customisable to an organisation's needs.

The proposed changes to the current risk charter are identified as strike through text to identify where text has been removed and red text to identify new text to be incorporated. The reasoning behind the changes is identified in the comments boxes contained on the left of the proposed updated charter.

CONSIDERATIONS**Alignment with Council's Vision**

Council's vision is 'to be the most prosperous and liveable district in the North Island by 2022'. This is accompanied by a core set of values to underpin decision-making, the following of which are relevant to this particular proposal: World Class; Quality; and Value.

Financial Considerations

The financial impact of the proposal is estimated to be \$Nil

Long-term Plan/Annual Plan

The expenditure outlined is currently budgeted for under existing operational costs

Legal Considerations

Local Government Act 2002

The matter comes within scope of the Council's lawful powers, including satisfying the purpose statement of [Section 10](#) of the Local Government Act 2002. The matter will enable the Council to meet the current and future needs of communities for good quality performance of Council's regulatory functions. (i.e. efficient, effective and appropriate to present and anticipated future circumstances).

Policy Implications

There are no known policy implications.

Māori Engagement

Council is bound by various Acts to consult and/or engage with Māori, including a duty to act reasonably and in good faith as a Te Tiriti ō Waitangi partner. Equally, Council has a responsibility to develop and proactively foster positive relationships with Māori as key stakeholders in our district, and to give effect to the principles of Te Tiriti ō Waitangi including (but not limited to) the protection of Māori rights and their rangatiratanga over tāonga. While we recognise Māori in general, we also need to work side by side with the three ahi kaa / resident iwi of our district.

Although good faith does not necessarily require consultation, it is a mechanism for Council to demonstrate its existence and commitment to working together as district partners. Appropriately, the report author acknowledges that they have considered the above obligations including the need to seek advice, guidance, feedback and/or involvement of Māori on the proposed recommendation/s, objective/s, project/s or service/s outlined within this report.

Risks

The updated Risk Management Charter provides the framework for implementing and maintaining a risk management system that reflects current best practice and should provide guidance of how risk management practices can be incorporated into Council's activities.

SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DECISION OR PROPOSAL

Council's Significance and Engagement policy identifies the following matters that are to be taken into account when assessing the degree of significance of proposals and decisions:

- a. The level of financial consequences of the proposal or decision;
- b. Whether the proposal or decision will affect a large portion of the community or community of interest;
- c. The likely impact on present and future interests of the community, recognising Maori cultural values and their relationship to land and water;
- d. Whether the proposal affects the level of service of an activity identified in the Long Term Plan;
- e. Whether community interest is high; and
- f. The capacity of Council to perform its role and the financial and other costs of doing so.

Officers have undertaken a rounded assessment of the matters in clause 11 of the Significance and Engagement Policy (2016), and are of the opinion that the proposal under consideration is of low importance.

ENGAGEMENT

Taking into consideration the above assessment, that the decision is of a low degree of significance, officers are of the opinion that no further engagement is required prior to Council making a decision.

COMMUNICATION/MEDIA

No communication/media required.

CONCLUSION

It is recommended that the Committee reviews and approves the updated Risk Management Charter.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Proposed Risk Management Charter 2019 - 2021

4.11 COUNCIL'S INSURANCE STRATEGY DEVELOPMENT AND RENEWAL PROGRAMME 2019

Author: Brian Fox, Head of Regulatory and Risk

Authorised by: Gareth Green, Chief Executive Officer

PURPOSE

To receive information relating to Council's insurance strategy development and renewal programme 2019.

DISCUSSION

The Head of Regulatory & Risk will provide information relating to Council's insurance strategy development and renewal programme 2019.

CONCLUSION

It is recommended that the information be received.

RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the Audit & Risk Committee receives the information.

ATTACHMENTS

Nil

4.12 AUDIT & RISK COMMITTEE SCHEDULE OF POLICIES FOR REVIEW

Author: Brian Fox, Head of Regulatory and Risk

Authorised by: Gareth Green, Chief Executive Officer

PURPOSE

To receive a Schedule of Policies regularly reviewed by the Audit & Risk Committee of Taupō District Council.

A Schedule of Policies is attached. This contains details of Council policies falling within the Terms of Reference of the Audit & Risk Committee, including dates of last review and when the policies will next appear before the Committee.

RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the Audit & Risk Committee receives the Schedule of Policies for review (A2477924).

ATTACHMENTS

1. Audit & Risk Committee Schedule of Policies

5 CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS

RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC

I move that the public be excluded from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting.

The general subject matter of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter, and the specific grounds under section 48(1) of the local government official information and meetings act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

General subject of each matter to be considered	Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter	Ground(s) under Section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution
Agenda Item No: 5.1 Confirmation of Confidential Portion of Audit & Risk Committee Minutes - 11 March 2019	Section 7(2)(g) - the withholding of the information is necessary to maintain legal professional privilege	Section 48(1)(a)(i)- the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist under section 7
Agenda Item No: 5.2 Draft Audit New Zealand report to Council on the interim audit for the year ending 30 June 2019	Section 7(2)(i) - the withholding of the information is necessary to enable [the Council] to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations)	Section 48(1)(a)(i)- the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist under section 7
Agenda Item No: 5.3 Waiora House project - review of asbestos management and compliance with Council's asbestos management plan	Section 7(2)(b)(ii) - the withholding of the information is necessary to protect information where the making available of the information would be likely unreasonably to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied or who is the subject of the information	Section 48(1)(a)(i)- the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist under section 7
Agenda Item No: 5.4 Turangi Financial and Rating Analysis interim report - PricewaterhouseCoopers	Section 7(2)(i) - the withholding of the information is necessary to enable [the Council] to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations)	Section 48(1)(a)(i)- the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist under section 7
Agenda Item No: 5.5 Litigation Update	Section 7(2)(g) - the withholding of the information is necessary to maintain legal professional privilege	Section 48(1)(a)(i)- the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist under section 7

I also move that *[name of person or persons]* be permitted to remain at this meeting, after the public has been excluded, because of their knowledge of *[specify]*. This knowledge, which will be of assistance in relation to the matter to be discussed, is relevant to that matter because *[specify]*.