



GREAT LAKE TAUPŌ
Taupō District Council

**I give notice that
a Tūrangi Co-Governance Committee Meeting will be held on:**

Date:	Wednesday, 12 February 2025
Time:	11.00am
Location:	Te Mataapuna Tūrangitukua Park Hirangi Road Tūrangi

AGENDA

MEMBERSHIP

Co-Chair Poumatua | Co-Chair Christian Asher

Co-Chair Mayor David Trewavas

Members Member Lauren Fletcher
Cr Sandra Greenslade
Member Amanda Martin
Member Te Takinga New
Cr Kevin Taylor
Member Amy Walker

Quorum 4

Two (2) members of each Partner to the Mana Whakahono a Rohe Agreement between Ngāti Tūrangitukua and Taupō District Council (with one of Council's members being an elected member of Council).

Julie Gardyne
Chief Executive

Order Of Business

1	Karakia	
2	Whakapāha Apologies	
3	Ngā Whakapānga Tukituki Conflicts of Interest	
4	Whakamanatanga O Ngā Meneti Confirmation of Minutes	
4.1	Ordinary Tūrangi Co-Governance Committee Meeting - 6 November 2024	3
5	Ngā Kaupapa Here Me Ngā Whakataunga Policy and Decision Making	
5.1	Election of Co-Chair Poumatua for the Meeting	4
5.2	Public Forum	5
5.3	Grant of rights over reserves and road to The Lines Company Limited and Tesla New Zealand ULC	6
5.4	Taupahi Road - Request for Tree Removal	10
5.5	Operations and Community Engagement Updates for Tūrangi.....	14
5.6	Mana Whakahono Workplan Update	15
5.7	Members' Reports	16
6	Ngā Kōrero Tūmataiti Confidential Business	
	Nil	

4.1 ORDINARY TŪRANGI CO-GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE MEETING - 6 NOVEMBER 2024

Author: Karen Watts, Senior Committee Advisor

Authorised by: Nigel McAdie, Legal and Governance Manager

NGĀ TŪTOHUNGA | RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the minutes of the Tūrangi Co-Governance Committee meeting held on Wednesday 6 November 2024 be approved and adopted as a true and correct record.

NGĀ TĀPIRIHANGA | ATTACHMENTS

1. Tūrangi Co-Governance Committee Meeting Minutes - 6 November 2024

5.1 ELECTION OF CO-CHAIR | POUMATUA FOR THE MEETING

Author: Christian Asher, Co-Chair | Poumatua

Authorised by: Christian Asher, Co-Chair | Poumatua

TE PŪTAKE | PURPOSE

To elect a Co-Chair | Poumatua for the meeting.

NGĀ KŌRERORERO | DISCUSSION

In accordance with the Mana Whakahono Agreement, the Tūrangi Co-Governance Committee has two Co-Chairs or Poumatua, one from each Partner to the Agreement. Mr Christian (Waka) Asher is Ngāti Tūrāngitukua's Co-Chair | Poumatua; and Mayor David Trewavas is Taupō District Council's Co-Chair | Poumatua.

There is a need to formally elect a Co-Chair | Poumatua for each meeting. This item enables that to occur. In the event that neither Co-Chair | Poumatua is in attendance at the meeting, a Chairperson must be elected by those members present.

WHAKAKAPINGA | CONCLUSION

It is recommended that the Committee elects Co-Chair | Poumatua Mr Christian Asher to Chair this hui.

NGĀ TŪTOHUNGA | RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the Tūrangi Co-Governance Committee elects Co-Chair | Poumatua Mr Christian Asher to Chair this hui.

NGĀ TĀPIRIHANGA | ATTACHMENTS

Nil

5.2 PUBLIC FORUM

Author: Christian Asher, Co-Chair | Poumatua

Authorised by: Christian Asher, Co-Chair | Poumatua

TE PŪTAKE | PURPOSE

To receive comments from members of the public on matters specified on this agenda or, if time permits, on other Committee matters.

NGĀ KŌRERORERO | DISCUSSION

Standing Orders provide for a period of up to 30 minutes to be made available at the start of meetings for members of the public to bring matters to the attention of the Committee. Any issue, idea or matter raised in public forum must fall within the Committee's terms of reference.

Speakers can speak for up to 5 minutes. Where the number of speakers presenting in the public forum exceeds 6 in total, the chairperson has discretion to restrict the speaking time permitted for all presenters. Members of the public wishing to address the Committee during public forum should register at least one clear day before the meeting by emailing publicforum@taupo.govt.nz.

No debate or decisions will be made at the meeting on issues raised during the forum unless related to items already on the agenda. Items not on the agenda may only be discussed if the matter is minor in nature and the procedures set out in Standing Order 9.13 are followed. A meeting may deal with (i.e. make a resolution in respect of) an item of business not on the agenda only if the procedures set out in Standing Order 9.12 are followed.

The relevant extracts from Standing Orders are **attached**.

WHAKAKAPINGA | CONCLUSION

It is recommended that the Tūrangi Co-Governance Committee receives comments from members of the public.

NGĀ TŪTOHUNGA | RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the Tūrangi Co-Governance Committee receives comments from members of the public.

NGĀ TĀPIRIHANGA | ATTACHMENTS

1. Extract from Standing Orders 2022-25

5.3 GRANT OF RIGHTS OVER RESERVES AND ROAD TO THE LINES COMPANY LIMITED AND TESLA NEW ZEALAND ULC

Author: Karyn Hollman, Senior Solicitor

Authorised by: Nigel McAdie, Legal and Governance Manager

TE PŪTAKE | PURPOSE

To consider the request for new easements and licences to The Lines Company Limited (TLC) and Tesla New Zealand ULC (“Tesla”) over several reserves and an area of road, pursuant to Parts C and D of the Mana Whakahono Ā Rohe.

WHAKARĀPOPOTOTANGA MATUA | EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

TLC are completing a transformer (end-of-life) replacement programme where multiple transformers are to be reduced in number and re-located. At the same time, within the town centre main carpark, Tesla is installing EV chargers, which will connect to a TLC transformer.

To protect their assets, TLC and Tesla require easements and/or licences to occupy in respect of the reserves and road parcels involved. The reserves are listed in Schedule 5 to the Mana Whakahono.

Pursuant to Parts C and D of the Mana Whakahono, the Committee is to consider, first, whether to grant the easements and/or licences to occupy; and second, whether to approve the easements on behalf of the Minister of Conservation under section 48(1) of the Reserves Act 1977.

NGĀ TŪTOHUNGA | RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the Tūrangi Co-Governance Committee:

1. Agrees to grant easements in gross and/or licences to occupy to The Lines Company Limited and Tesla New Zealand ULC in relation to each company’s assets within the following:
 - a. Ringakapo Street Reserve - 0 Puataata Road – Lot 11 DP 50584;
 - b. Mawake Street Reserve – 0 Mawake Place - Lot 43 DP 28221;
 - c. Mihipeka Grove Utility Reserve – 2 Mihipeka Grove – Lot 132 DP 28174;
 - d. The Town Centre – 3 & 83 The Town Centre – Lot 2 DP 60862 and Pt Lot 34 and Lot 48 DP 31159;
 - e. The Town Centre carpark – public road;
 - f. Ohuanga Road Reserve – Ohuanga Road – Lot 2 DP 76954;
 - g. Te Whakarau Street Plantation Reserve – Te Whakarau Street / State Highway 1 / Noni Street - Lot 7 DP 344694.
2. Authorises the Chief Executive to negotiate the final terms of the easements;
3. Approves on behalf of the Minister of Conservation the grant of electricity easements over the said reserves to The Lines Company Limited;
4. Approves on behalf of the Minister of Conservation the grant of an electricity easement over 3 and 83 The Town Centre to Tesla New Zealand ULC;
5. Authorises the Chief Executive and the Mayor to do and sign an Authority and Instruction, easement instrument/s and any certificate of consent needed for the purpose of registering the easements at Land Information New Zealand at Land Information New Zealand at the cost of The Lines Company Limited and Tesla New Zealand ULC.

TE WHAKAMAHUKI | BACKGROUND

The proposal has not been presented previously.

NGĀ KŌRERORERO | DISCUSSION

TLC's programme will result in one transformer unit and ring main unit per reserve affected. The reserves affected by the requested easements are:

1. Ringakapo Street Reserve - 0 Puataata Road;
2. Mawake Street Reserve – 0 Mawake Place;
3. Mihipeka Grove Utility Reserve – 2 Mihipeka Grove;
4. The Town Centre – 3 & 83 The Town Centre and main carpark;
5. Ohuanga Road Reserve – Ohuanga Road;
6. Te Whakarau Street Plantation Reserve – Te Whakarau Street / State Highway 1 / Noni Street.

The location of each site and the works involved on each site are explained in the synopsis **attached**.

In each case the siteworks involved are trench drilling, underground cabling, and aboveground pillar cabinets.

Below is an image of a ring main unit (left) and a transformer (right):



Within each reserve an average 6.0m² easement area will be needed: this allows for a 2.1m² ring main unit and a 3.0m² transformer, separated by a 1.0m gap.

So, on average, in each case the assets will take up less than 1.0% of the area of the land affected.

We believe the use and function of each reserve and road will not be materially affected by the presence and operation of the transformer works, and public notice of the proposals is not required.

Accordingly, there are two options:

- 1: Do not approve easements and/or licences to each TLC and Tesla in respect of the reserves and road.
- 2: Approve easements and/or licences to each TLC and Tesla in respect of the reserves and road.

NGĀ KŌWHIRINGA | OPTIONSAnalysis of Options**Option 1: Do not approve easements and/or licences to each TLC and Tesla in respect of the reserves and road**

Advantages	Disadvantages
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None known. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Lines Company and Tesla will need to re-design or not proceed with a transformer replacement programme that has already been approved by Ngāti Tūrangitukua.

Option 2: Approve easements and/or licences to each TLC and Tesla in respect of the reserves and road

Advantages	Disadvantages
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Lines Company and Tesla can immediately proceed with a transformer replacement programme, with the approval of Ngāti Tūrangitukua, to the benefit of the Tūrangi community. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None known.

Analysis Conclusion:

The preferred option is **Option 2: Approve easements and/or licences to each TLC and Tesla in respect of the reserves and road.**

NGĀ HĪRAUNGA | CONSIDERATIONS**Ngā Aronga Pūtea | Financial Considerations**

The financial impact of this proposal is nil. TLC and Tesla is expected to meet the costs of putting place the easements and/or licences, and maintenance required to their assets.

Long-term Plan/Annual Plan

There is no expenditure to be budgeted for in relation to the proposed easements and/or licences.

Ngā Aronga Ture | Legal ConsiderationsLocal Government Act 2002

The matter comes within scope of the Council's lawful powers, including satisfying the purpose statement of [Section 10](#) of the Local Government Act 2002, which says that the purpose of local government is (a) to enable democratic local decision-making and action by, and on behalf of, communities; and (b) to promote the social, economic, environmental, and cultural wellbeing of communities in the present and for the future. We consider that social and economic wellbeing are relevant to this proposal, as it relates directly to an essential service to the community.

Under section 12 of the Local Government Act 2002, Council's has general powers of competency. This is relevant here, as it means that Council may grant a licence to occupy the surface of a road owned by Council, so long as the licence does not prevent the public's general right of way over the road. In this case, the road is the main town centre carpark, and the space required to accommodate the assets of TLC and Tesla is less than 0.2% of the entire carpark. So, granting TLC and Tesla licences in this case will not inhibit the public using the carpark.

Reserves Act 1977

Section 48(1) of the Reserves Act allows easements to be granted over reserves with the consent of the Minister of Conservation. In the context of the Mana Whakahono, the Committee is to make both those decisions: 1. whether to grant the easement; and 2. Whether to approve the decision to grant the easement.

Public notice of any easement proposal affecting a reserve must be given unless the reserves will not be materially altered or permanently damaged, or the public's rights in respect of the reserves permanently affected, by the easement. For the reasons given above, we think that exception applies so that no public notice of the easement proposals must be given.

Each site is vested in the Council except for 3 and 83 The Town Centre, which are owned by The Ngāti Tūrangitukua Charitable Trust, and in respect of which the position of the Ngāti Tūrangitukua members of the Committee must be adopted unless there is a legal or other significant impediment which prevents the recommendation being followed.

Authorisations are not required from any other party.

Ngā Hiraunga Kaupapa Here | Policy Implications

There are no known policy implications. The current Tūrangi reserves management plan process does not affect the current proposals.

Te Kōrero tahi ki te Māori | Māori Engagement

Taupō District Council is committed to meeting its statutory Tiriti O Waitangi obligations and acknowledges partnership as the basis of Te Tiriti. Council has a responsibility to act reasonably and in good faith to reflect the partnership relationship, and to give effect to the principles of Te Tiriti. These principles include but are not limited to the protection of Māori rights, enabling Māori participation in Council processes, and having rangatiratanga over tāonga.

Our statutory obligations outline our duties to engage with Māori and enable participation in Council processes. Alongside this, we recognise the need to work side by side with the ahi kaa / resident iwi of our district. Engagement may not always be required by law, however meaningful engagement with Māori allows Council to demonstrate good faith and our commitment to working together as partners across our district.

TLC has an existing relationship with Ngāti Tūrangitukua, and the synopsis attached identifies the approvals given by Tūrangitukua with respect to each site involved in the works programme.

Ngā Tūraru | Risks

There are no known risks.

TE HIRANGA O TE WHAKATAU, TE TONO RĀNEI | SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DECISION OR PROPOSAL

Council's Significance and Engagement Policy identifies matters to be considered when assessing the degree of significance of proposals and decisions.

Officers have undertaken an assessment of the matters in the [Significance and Engagement Policy \(2022\)](#), and are of the opinion that the proposal under consideration is of a low degree of significance.

TE KŌRERO TAHI | ENGAGEMENT

Taking into consideration the above assessment, that the decision is of a low degree of significance, officers are of the opinion that no further engagement is required prior to the Committee making a decision.

TE WHAKAWHITI KŌRERO PĀPAHO | COMMUNICATION/MEDIA

No communication/media required.

WHAKAKAPINGA | CONCLUSION

In the circumstances, we believe it is proper for the Committee to approve the grant of rights, by way of easement and/or licence, to The Lines Company Limited and Tesla New Zealand ULC to enable the Tūrangi transformer replacement programme to go ahead.

NGĀ TĀPIRIHANGA | ATTACHMENTS

1. Proposed Transformer Sites - Tūrangi Reserves

5.4 TAUPAHI ROAD - REQUEST FOR TREE REMOVAL

Author: Billie Vi, Parks Advisor - Planning and Operations

Authorised by: Greg Hadley, Parks and Reserves Manager

TE PŪTAKE | PURPOSE

To consider the removal of a Red Oak (*Quercus rubra*) tree located on Taupahi Road, Tūrangi.

WHAKARĀPOPOTOTANGA MATUA | EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Resource Consent RM160403 permits Council staff to programme on-going maintenance and remedial work on various protected trees across the district, this includes notable and amenity trees.

The work requested by parks operation staff was to prune the Red Oak tree outside 43 Taupahi Road, Tūrangi to remedy encroachment of the tree onto neighbouring properties. The work was carried out on 14 November 2024 by Council contractors. However, instead of maintenance work, the tree was disassembled for removal.

Because this is an amenity tree and the partial removal has resulted in the Red Oak tree's current state, council officers seek a recommendation from the Tūrangi Co-Governance Committee.

Council's consultant arborist, Adrian Lamont from Dendrology Limited was the successful applicant when Council went out for expressions of interest for an arboricultural assessor. Council has a panel of qualified arborists for tree works, however, Adrian is an independent contractor utilised for tree assessments before any tree work commences. Adrian provides the level of expertise that is necessary for tree assessments. This includes the required qualification to assess the structural integrity of a tree and impartiality. Adrian monitors notable and amenity trees across the district and has a history with the Red Oak that was partially removed.

NGĀ TŪTOHUNGA | RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the Tūrangi Co-Governance Committee agree to remove the Red Oak (*Quercus rubra*) outside number 43 – 47 Taupahi Road, Tūrangi, subject to a resource consent obtained by council officers.

TE WHAKAMAHIKI | BACKGROUND

The proposal has not been presented previously.

The owner of house number 43 Taupahi Road, Tūrangi requested a pruning of the tree to reduce encroachment over their boundary. Under the resource consent RM160403, Council is permitted to carry out on-going maintenance and remedial work on various protected trees, including this Red Oak. The work was started on 14 November 2024. However, instead of pruning the tree, the contractor commenced removal of the tree. Before ceasing work, they had removed the entire branch structure, with only the central most stem and a small fraction of its original foliar cover. Once Council was notified of the extent of the work being carried out, an immediate order to 'cease all work' was issued.

Following a site inspection a memo report was obtained from Council's consultant arborist, Adrian Lamont from Dendrology Limited. This report is attached (note, this is not the usual assessment under the Tree and Vegetation Policy 2014).

An independent investigation into what occurred is still ongoing.

NGĀ KŌRERORERO | DISCUSSION

Council's arborist has assessed the tree and reported on its current state.

The tree is listed as an amenity tree under Council's District Plan. The parks team holds a resource consent to maintain trees listed in the District Plan that are on Council reserve. This consent does not cover removal of any notable or amenity tree.

As outlined in the arborist's report, "Prior to the partial felling of the tree it was in good health, with full, lush foliar cover. There will be a significant amount of stored energy in the remaining stem and roots and at least in the short term, there is very likely to be a flush of dense new epicormic growth arising from the wound

sites. This is when the new shoots arise from dormant buds, triggered by a hormonal response to damage, stress or increased light exposure.

In its current state the tree has lost all its aesthetic appeal and has minimal remaining value as a landscape feature or specimen tree. The species is known for its full, broadly spreading canopy and this specimen has been reduced to a single narrow, vertical stem with minimal foliage remaining. Furthermore, any new growth is likely to be held close the main stem and the tree will continue to have a highly unnatural appearance for decades to come.

In the short term, the remaining stem is at an increased risk of failure due to the radical change in shelter and crown balance and the loss of any damping effect from lateral branches and foliage and will not be well adapted to being left fully exposed.

In the long term, there is very likely to be significant decay ingress via the numerous large wounds that have been created up the stem. Combined with dense new epicormic growth and the additional weight and wind drag, this will further increase the risk of stem failure."

Council's Tree and Vegetation Policy 2014, policy 3.1 allows for the removal of unhealthy trees where the opinion of a qualified arborist that the tree meets the following criteria. While the tree was in a healthy state prior to the work being carried out, in the opinion of Council officers, Policy 3.1 is applicable.

Policy 3.1 – Removal of Unhealthy Trees

A tree on council land may be removed by council staff where in the opinion of a qualified arborist the tree is:

- dead,
- dangerous,
- severely diseased, or
- particularly poor form and provides limited amenity value.

NGĀ KŌWHIRINGA | OPTIONS

Analysis of Options

There are three possible options.

Option 1. Leave the tree in its existing condition.

Advantages	Disadvantages
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No further work required other than managing the epicormic growth. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential for main stem failure. • High probability of stem decay. • Unnatural form with little aesthetic appeal.

Option 2. Reduce to the bole (approximately 3 metres above ground).

Advantages	Disadvantages
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The tree remains in a safer form, removing the potential danger outlined in the arborist's report. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is little remaining branch structure. • The tree would not regain its original structure. • Indefinite maintenance would be required for the life of the tree to manage epicormic growth and would forever be of limited amenity value.

Option 3. Removal of the tree and replacement specimen tree planted.

Advantages	Disadvantages
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opportunity for a new tree to be planted which 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cost of Resource Consent, tree removal and

<p>would fit better within the existing streetscape.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opportunity to replace with a more suitable species (the Red Oak achieved a size that caused issues for both infrastructure and neighbouring properties.) • Council would be following the advice received by Council's consultant arborist, that the tree in its present form is potentially dangerous. 	<p>stump grinding.</p>
--	------------------------

Analysis Conclusion:

The preferred alternative is Option 3 as recommended by Council's consultant arborist. Due to the work carried out on the tree it is now potentially dangerous (mitigated by Options 2 and 3) but is now in particularly poor form and detracts rather than adds amenity to Taupahi Road.

NGĀ HĪRAUNGA | CONSIDERATIONS

Ngā Aronga Pūtea | Financial Considerations

No cost has been incurred by Council to date.

Depending on the committee's decision and pending the recommendation of the investigation, the cost could range from \$1,000 (estimate) for maintenance to make safe to \$10,000 (estimate) for a resource consent, Traffic Management Plan (TMP), removal. If the tree was to be retained there would be ongoing annual maintenance required (as per the arborist report).

Ngā Aronga Ture | Legal Considerations

Local Government Act 2002

The matter comes within scope of the Council's lawful powers, including satisfying the purpose statement of [Section 10](#) of the Local Government Act 2002. That section of the Act states that the purpose of local government is (a) to enable democratic local decision-making and action by, and on behalf of, communities; and (b) to promote the social, economic, environmental, and cultural well-being of communities in the present and for the future. It is considered that environmental and social factors are of relevance to this particular matter.

The proposal has been evaluated with regards to a range of legislation. The key legislation applicable to the proposal has been reviewed and the relevant matters for consideration are as follows:

Authorisations as follows are required for the proposal:

- Resource Consent
 Building Consent
 Environmental Health
 Liquor Licencing
 Licence to occupy

The current resource consent RM160403 permits Council the on-going maintenance and remedial works on various protected trees. The programmed work conducted on 14 November 2024 was permitted under this resource consent.

If the Tūrangi Co-Governance team agree to removal and replacement of the tree, then a resource consent is required because RM160403 does not permit the removal of a protected tree, this includes amenity trees.

Resource Consent RM160403

This resource consent permits the on-going maintenance and remedial works on various protected trees across the district. This consent does not permit the remove of protected trees; therefore, a resource consent is required.

Resource Consent required

The work actioned by Council officers for pruning of the tree on Taupahi Road, Tūrangi was permitted under the resource consent mentioned above, RM160403. However, to remove this amenity tree and to cover the work that has been already done on the tree, a new resource consent is required. RM160403 does not permit the removal of protected trees or the extent to which this tree was pruned.

Ngā Hīraunga Kaupapa Here | Policy Implications

The proposal has been evaluated against the following plans:

- Long Term Plan 2024-2034 Annual Plan Waikato Regional Plan
 Taupō District Plan Bylaws Relevant Management Plan(s)

Taupō District Plan

3p.3.2 Objective is to identify and provide a level of protection for amenity trees.

The objective is to maintain the level of amenity provided by these trees, ensuring the effects of any alteration or removal can be avoided. It also allows Council to ensure the health, vigour and function of amenity trees are not compromised by any development or other activity. This also provides for the ongoing care and maintenance of amenity trees across the district.

Te Kōrero tahi ki te Māori | Māori Engagement

Taupō District Council is committed to meeting its statutory Tiriti O Waitangi obligations and acknowledges partnership as the basis of Te Tiriti. Council has a responsibility to act reasonably and in good faith to reflect the partnership relationship, and to give effect to the principles of Te Tiriti. These principles include, but are not limited to the protection of Māori rights, enabling Māori participation in Council processes and having rangatiratanga over tāonga.

Our statutory obligations outline our duties to engage with Māori and enable participation in Council processes. Alongside this, we recognise the need to work side by side with iwi, and hapū of our district.

Ngā Tūraru | Risks

There are known risks as outlined in the arborist report. If the tree were to remain there is potential for the likes of stem decay or stem failure. Further, if it were to remain, there is a reputational risk to Council from the ongoing cost of maintaining a tree that will never achieve good structural form. Therefore, the most viable and safe option for the Tūrangi community is to remove the tree and replant with a suitable specimen.

TE HIRANGA O TE WHAKATAU, TE TONO RĀNEI | SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DECISION OR PROPOSAL

Council's Significance and Engagement Policy identifies matters to be taken into account when assessing the degree of significance of proposals and decisions.

Officers have undertaken an assessment of the matters in the [Significance and Engagement Policy \(2022\)](#), and are of the opinion that the proposal under consideration is of a low degree of significance.

TE KŌRERO TAHI | ENGAGEMENT

Taking into consideration the above assessment, that the decision is of a low degree of significance, officers are of the opinion that no further engagement is required prior to Council making a decision.

TE WHAKAWHITI KŌRERO PĀPAHO | COMMUNICATION/MEDIA

No communication or media posts have been organised with the communication team following the outcome of this report. Communications can be organised following direction from the Committee.

WHAKAKAPINGA | CONCLUSION

Based on the information within this report, Council officers recommend to remove the tree, stump grind and replace with a suitable specimen tree after seeking the appropriate resource consents in order for this work to be done.

NGĀ TĀPIRIHANGA | ATTACHMENTS

1. Arborist report of Taupahi Road tree, Tūrangi.

5.5 OPERATIONS AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT UPDATES FOR TŪRANGI

Author: Marama Isherwood, Southern Lake Taupō Engagement Partner

Authorised by: Jessica Sparks, Environmental Services Manager

TE PŪTAKE | PURPOSE

This item is for the Committee to receive updates on operations and community engagement within Tūrangi.

NGĀ KŌRERORERO | DISCUSSION**Commercial Signage**

The Environmental Services Manager will update the committee regarding commercial signage in the rohe.

Update on the former Tūrangi Holiday Park

Council has engaged Grayson Clements Lawyers to identify former owners and their successors. This is part of the process that is being followed to offer the land back. A more substantive update will be provided at the next meeting in March.

Tūrangi Transfer Station

There is a current project to enlarge existing the Resell building to meet demands for additional interior storage space. This will reduce congestion, minimise damage to products stored outside as space is limited, and improve overall customer service.

Community Engagement

The Southern Lake Taupō Engagement Partner will update the committee on engagement in Tūrangi.

Mayor's Taskforce for Jobs Update

Gina Pohe will update the committee regarding the Tūrangi Rangatahi in Mahi programme.

WHAKAKAPINGA | CONCLUSION

It is recommended that the Committee receives the updates on current projects and community engagement in Tūrangi.

NGĀ TŪTOHUNGA | RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the Tūrangi Co-Governance Committee receives the updates on operations and community engagement in Tūrangi.

NGĀ TĀPIRIHANGA | ATTACHMENTS

1. Tūrangi Transfer Station - Shed Extension
2. Tūrangi Transfer Station - Shed Extension - detailed picture

5.6 MANA WHAKAHONO WORKPLAN UPDATE

Author: Sue Mavor, Co-Governance Management Partner

Authorised by: Hadley Tattle, Acting General Manager People and Community Partnerships

TE PŪTAKE | PURPOSE

The purpose of this recurring item is to provide the Tūrangi Co-Governance committee with a high-level overview on how key actions within the Mana Whakahono are tracking.

NGĀ KŌRERORERO | DISCUSSION

The overarching purpose of the Tūrangi Co-Governance Committee is to:

- a. Be the vehicle through which governance of all joint matters subject to the Mana Whakahono are facilitated between the Partners;
- b. The powers and functions of the Committee as set out in the Mana Whakahono are exercised; and
- c. To govern the implementation of the Mana Whakahono and fulfil the purpose, principles and functions as prescribed within the Mana Whakahono.

WHAKAKAPINGA | CONCLUSION

It is recommended that the Tūrangi Co-Governance Committee receives the information on the Mana Whakahono workplan.

NGĀ TŪTOHUNGA | RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the Tūrangi Co-Governance Committee receives the Mana Whakahono workplan update (A3697098).

NGĀ TĀPIRIHANGA | ATTACHMENTS

1. Mana Whakahono Dashboard Report February 2025

5.7 MEMBERS' REPORTS

Author: Karen Watts, Senior Committee Advisor

Authorised by: Nigel McAdie, Legal and Governance Manager

TE PŪTAKE | PURPOSE

This item permits members to provide feedback on any items of interest arising from meetings/events they have attended.

No debate and/or resolution is permitted on any of the reports.

WHAKAKAPINGA | CONCLUSION

Members' reports will be presented at the meeting for receipt.

NGĀ TŪTOHUNGA | RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the Tūrangi Co-Governance Committee receives the reports from members.

NGĀ TĀPIRIHANGA | ATTACHMENTS

Nil